MEMORANDUM

To: Lynda Mifsud (METRO)

From: David Ernst (KM Chng Environmental Inc.)

Date: 26 June 2000

Subject: Park and Ride SIP Commitments - Emissions Analysis Results

KM Chng Environmental Inc. (or KM Chng) has estimated the emissions reductions due to construction or expansion of ten park-and-ride facilities that METRO has designated as 1999 SIP commitments. The attached Table 1 summarizes the emissions results, and shows that the estimated total emission reductions are 0.076 tons per day of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and 0.032 tons per day of volatile organic compounds (VOC). The summary and the analyses for each park & ride are attached.

Park-and-ride facilities are primarily commuter services. When a park-and-ride lot begins operation, commuters who formerly drove all the way to their workplace will now make a shorter drive (the access trip) to the park-and-ride lot, and then board a METRO bus for the longer (usually freeway or line-haul) segment of the work trip to downtown. This decrease in personal vehicle-miles traveled (VMT) will decrease the emissions from personal vehicles. However, this emission reduction is less than proportionate to the mileage reduction, because the work trip typically starts with the engine cold, and emission rates are higher with a cold start than in warmed-up operation. These higher emission rates for a cold start apply to all such trips and are not reduced when the trip is shortened. Emissions are also added by the buses that serve the park-and-ride lot for the line-haul segment of the work trip.

For each of the ten facilities, KM Chng compiled data supplied by METRO on the average distances and speeds for the work trip before facility implementation, the access trip with the facility, and the bus line-haul trip segment with the facility. Emission factors in grams per VMT were calculated for the year 1999 using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) MOBILE5a_h model with inputs provided by the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC). Emission rates for personal commuting vehicles (autos and light trucks) were calculated based on the regional vehicle mix provided by H-GAC, but excluding the commercial and heavy vehicle types. The percentage of VMT driven in cold start mode was estimated for personal vehicles based on trip distance and speed, and the emission factors were calculated accordingly.

METRO provided data on the bus VMT that was attributable to each facility. Some of the park-and-ride lots are associated with existing transit centers that were already served by METRO bus routes. Buses also already served those existing park-and-ride lots that were expanded. METRO evaluated the bus services to determine which routes were created, diverted, or had service increased as a result of the new or expanded park-and-ride facilities. Only the new bus VMT, i.e., bus mileage that



would not have occurred in the absence of the new or expanded facilities, was included in the emissions analysis. Buses were assigned emission rates for the MOBILE5a_h Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicle class. For each segment of the work trip, emissions were calculated as the product of VMT and the emission factor. The emissions for all trip segments were summed for conditions with and without the park-and-ride lot, and the difference between the two sums indicates the emissions change due to the facility.

The differences in emissions for individual park-and-ride facilities tend to be small, and can be affected by small changes in trip distances, cold start percentages, bus VMT, and speeds. As shown in Table 1, the total emission reductions of 0.076 tons per day of NOx and 0.032 tons per day of VOC include three facilities where emission increases were estimated. These facilities are Hillcroft Transit Center, Monroe Park-and-Ride, and Mission Bend Park-and-Ride. At Hillcroft Transit Center, the difference in distance for personal vehicles between the full work trip and the access trip is small, but the access trip speed is much lower than the full work trip speed. The lower speed is associated with a higher VOC emission factor, which offsets the shorter distance, resulting in slightly higher VOC emissions from personal vehicles with the facility. At Monroe Park-and-Ride, the added bus VMT is relatively high, which offsets the emission reductions from personal vehicles for both NOx and VOC. At Mission Bend Park-and-Ride, the added bus VMT is modest, but the bus speeds are relatively low, leading to higher NOx emissions from buses, and these emissions barely offset the decrease in NOx emissions from personal vehicles. However, as noted above, the net effects of all ten facilities are decreases in both NOx and VOC emissions. If only the seven facilities with emission reductions in both NOx and VOC are considered, the net total emission reductions would be 0.092 tons per day of NOx and 0.035 tons per day of VOC.

Table 1

Emissions Summary for METRO Park & Ride Facilities
For 1999 SIP Commitments

METRO Park & Ride Facility	Net Emissions Change* (tons/day)				
	VOC	NOx			
Tidwell Transit Center	-0.00003	-0.0002			
Mesa Transit Center	-0.0003	-0.0003			
Hillcroft Transit Center	0.0009	-0.0041			
Monroe Park & Ride	0.0029	0.0198			
Mission Bend Park & Ride	-0.0006	0.0002			
West Bellfort Park & Ride	-0.0102	-0.0278			

Kingwood Park & Ride – Modification	-0.0001	-0.0003
Northwest Station - Second Expansion	-0.0107	-0.0351
Addicks Park & Ride - Second Expansion Phase 1	-0.0091	-0.0135
Bay Area Boulevard Park & Pool	-0.0050	-0.0149
Total emissions change for all facilities	-0.0322	-0.0763

• Negative number indicates emissions decrease.

Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

PARK & RIDE FACILITIES SUMMARY

Park & Ride Facility	Net Emissions C VOC	change (tons/day) NOx
Tidwell Transit Center	-0.00003	-0.0002
Mesa Transit Center	-0.0003	-0.0003
Hillcroft Transit Center	0.0009	-0.0041
Monroe Park & Ride	0.0029	0.0198
Mission Bend Park & Ride	-0.0006	0.0002
West Bellfort Park & Ride	-0.0102	-0.0278
Kingwood Park & Ride - Modification	-0.0001	-0.0003
Northwest Station - Second Expansion	-0.0107	-0.0351
Addicks Park & Ride - Second Expansion Phase 1	-0.0091	-0.0135
Bay Area Blvd. Park & Pool	-0.0050	-0.0149
Total all facilities (negative number indicates emissions decrease	-0.0322	-0.0763

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Tidwell Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

		No-Action	•	
Variable	Units	Value	Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehi	cle Volume			
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	786	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	3.1%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	24	24	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emissio	<u>ns</u>			
Average distance	miles 1-way	6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
				Access the worldeling - line hadring in non-rie vialies.
Average speed	mph	45	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	8.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	100.0%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time)
				Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC 1.241	1.925	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99)
Facility: Tidwell Transit Cente	er		Page 2 of 2	29

		NOx	1.802	1.711	Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh,	VOC	7.446	6.932	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Emissions per venicle trip	1-way	NOx	10.812	6.160	(Emission ractor) (trip distance)
	ı way	NOX	10.012	0.100	
Total vehicle emissions	g/day,	VOC	357	333	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
	all round trips		519	296	(), , () [
	·				
	tons/day,	VOC	0.0004	0.0004	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
	all round trips	NOx	0.0006	0.0003	
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to	Final Destination	<u>on</u>			
Bus Route					N. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. METDO /D. J. O. D. J.
"New" Bus VMT/day 4,45,54,	Daily bus-mile	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility, per METRO (<i>Park & Ride</i>
57,83					SIP Commitment Analysis , 5/2/00).
					Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC		0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes
Dus total emissions	toris/day	NOx		0.0000	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
				0.000	33.113.313.11 (grad), (13.13), (2333 12.14)
III. Net Emissions Impact					
			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable	Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.00039	0.00037	From II. B above
		NOx	0.0006	0.0003	
Dura and all and	1/-I	1100	0.00000	0.00000	Frank II. Oakarra
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.00000	0.00000	From II. C above
		NOx	0.0000	0.0000	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	0.00039	0.00037	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
rotal Chilosiono, ali veriloteo	wisias	NOx	0.00034	0.00037	Sum of personal verticies tous
		NOX	0.0000	0.0003	
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	N.A.	-0.00003	Difference between facility and no-action case.
3	,	NOx	N.A.	-0.0002	Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Mesa Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehice				
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	100	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	90.0%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	90	90	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission Average distance	ns miles 1-way	5	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	12.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	70.1%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors Facility: Mesa Transit Center	g/veh-mi	VOC 1.667	1.925 Page 4 of 29	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99)

		NOx	1.575	1.711	Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.			
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	8.33 7.87	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)			
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	1,500 1,417	1,248 1,109	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)			
	tons/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	0.0017 0.0016	0.0014 0.0012	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to Final Destination								
"New" Bus VMT/day -	Daily bus-mil	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility, per METRO (<i>Park & Ride SIP Commitment Analysis</i> , 5/2/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route			
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			
III. Net Emissions Impact								
Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes			
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.0017	0.0014	From II. B above			
	,	NOx	0.0016	0.0012				
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above			
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0017 0.0016	0.0014 0.0012	Sum of personal vehicle + bus			
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0003 -0.0003	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.			

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Hillcroft Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehi	cle Volume			
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	880	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	45.4%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	400	400	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission Average distance	<u>ns</u> miles 1-way	5.28	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	55	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	5.8	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	100.0%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.

Facility: Hillcroft Transit Center Page 6 of 29

Emission factors		g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	1.172 2.273	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip		g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	6.19 12.00	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions		g/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	4,951 9,601	5,545 4,928	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	0.0055 0.0106	0.0061 0.0054	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip Fro			<u>tion</u>			
"New" Bus VMT/day	8 <u>us Rout</u> 132 163	<u>e</u> Daily bus-m	iiles		16.52 56.76	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed	132 163	mph			13 13	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors	132	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.907 12.949	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors by Bus Route
	163	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.907 12.949	
Bus emissions	132	g/day	VOC NOx		48 214	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
	163	g/day	VOC NOx		165 735	
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0002 0.0010	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)

III. Net Emissions Impact

			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable	Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.0055	0.0061	From II. B above
		NOx	0.0106	0.0054	
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.0000	0.0002	From II. C above
		NOx	0.0000	0.0010	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	0.0055	0.0063	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
		NOx	0.0106	0.0065	
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	N.A.	0.0009	Difference between facility and no-action case.
g	3	NOx	N.A.	-0.0041	Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Monroe Park & Ride

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

No-Action

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

With Facility

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable	Units	No-Action Value	Value Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehi	cle Volume			
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	874	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	28.4%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	248	248	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission	<u>ns</u>			
Average distance	miles 1-way	10	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	60	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	10.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode Facility: Monroe Park & Ride	%	84.2%	97.4% Page 9 of 29	(505 sec)/(trip time)

Cold start % varied based on speed/trip lengt		Cold start %	varied	based	on	speed/trip	length
---	--	--------------	--------	-------	----	------------	--------

Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC	1.213	1.925	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99)
	Ū	NOx	2.483	1.711	Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh,	VOC	12.13	6.93	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
	1-way	NOx	24.83	6.16	
Total vehicle emissions	g/day,	VOC	6,014	3,438	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
	all round trip	s NOx	12,313	3,055	
	tons/day,	VOC	0.0066	0.0038	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
	all round trip	s NOx	0.0136	0.0034	

C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to Final Destination

	Bus Route	<u>e</u>			
"New" Bus VMT/day	244 247	Daily bus-m	illes	485.25 2,129.73	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed	244 247	mph		21 23	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors	244	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	2.110 10.699	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors by Bus Route
	247	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	1.965 10.346	
Bus emissions	244	g/day	VOC NOx	1,024 5,192	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
	247	g/day	VOC NOx	4,185 22,034	
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0057 0.0300	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)

Facility: Monroe Park & Ride

III. Net Emissions Impact

Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0066 0.0136	0.0038 0.0034	From II. B above
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0057 0.0300	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0066 0.0136	0.0095 0.0333	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	0.0029 0.0198	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Mission Bend Park & Ride

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

		No-Action	With Facility						
Variable	Units	Value	Value	Sources/Notes					
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehicle Volume									
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	826	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)					
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	12.3%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.					
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	102	102	Capacity * utilization rate					
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission	<u>1S</u>								
Average distance	miles 1-way	7.5	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.					
Average speed	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)					
Average trip time	minutes	18.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)					

Facility: Mission Bend Park & Ride Page 12 of 29

% of time in cold start mod	de	%		46.8%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.				
Emission factors		g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	1.445 1.458	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.				
Emissions per vehicle trip		g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	10.84 10.93	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)				
Total vehicle emissions		g/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	2,211 2,230	1,414 1,257	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)				
		tons/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	0.0024 0.0025	0.0016 0.0014	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)				
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip Fro	C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to Final Destination									
<u>B</u>	us Rout	<u>e</u>								
"New" Bus VMT/day	2 25 132	Daily bus-mi	les		58.26 0 28.91	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Route 25 listed as "NA" in METRO data; zero entered as placeholder.				
Line-haul ave. speed	2 25 132	mph			11 12 16	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route				
Bus emission factors	2	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		3.177 13.776	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors by Bus Route				
	25	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		3.038 13.347					
	132	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.561 11.927					
Bus emissions	2	g/day	VOC		185	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT)				

		NOx		803	Bus Emissions by Bus Route	
25	aldov	VOC		0		
20	g/day	VOC NOx		0		
		NOX		O		
132	g/day	VOC		74		
	g 3	NOx		345		
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC		0.0003	Sum of all Bus Routes	
		NOx		0.0013	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)	
III. Net Emissions Impact						
III. Net Liliissions impact			No-Action	With Facility		
Variable	Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes	
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.0024	0.0016	From II. B above	
		NOx	0.0025	0.0014		
Dua amiasiana	tomo/dov	V/OC	0.0000	0.0002	From II C ohous	
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC	0.0000	0.0003	From II. C above	
		NOx	0.0000	0.0013		
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	0.0024	0.0018	Sum of personal vehicle + bus	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0024 0.0025	0.0018 0.0026	Sum of personal vehicle + bus	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0024 0.0025	0.0018 0.0026	Sum of personal vehicle + bus	
Total emissions, all vehicles Net emissions change, all vehic	Š				Sum of personal vehicle + bus Difference between facility and no-action case.	

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: West Bellfort Park & Ride

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehi	cle Volume			
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	1,169	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	79.5%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	929	929	Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons. Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emissio	<u>ns</u>			
Average distance	miles 1-way	15	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	55	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)

Facility: West Bellfort Park & Ride Page 15 of 29

Average trip time	minutes		16.4	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)			
% of time in cold start mode	%		51.4%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.			
Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.908 1.950	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.			
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	13.62 29.24	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)			
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	25,314 54,334	12,879 11,445	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)			
	tons/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	0.0279 0.0598	0.0142 0.0126	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to Final Destination								
<u>Bus R</u>	<u>oute</u>							
"New" Bus VMT/day 26	5 Daily bus-m	iles		1,778.98	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route			
Line-haul ave. speed 8	mph			26	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route			
Bus emission factors 26	5 g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		1.777 9.944	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors by Bus Route			
Bus emissions 26	5 g/day	VOC NOx		3,161 17,690	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route			
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0035 0.0195	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			

III. Net Emissions Impact

Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes	
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0279 0.0598	0.0142 0.0126	From II. B above	
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0035 0.0195	From II. C above	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0279 0.0598	0.0177 0.0321	Sum of personal vehicle + bus	
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0102 -0.0278	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.	

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Kingwood Park & Ride - Modification

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Modifications.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

		No-Action	With Facility	
Variable	Units	Value	Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehic Lot capacity	cle Volume vehicles	-	100	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	70.5%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	71	71	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission Average distance	<u>ns</u> miles 1-way	5	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	30	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	10.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	84.2%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.

Facility: Kingwood Park & Ride Page 18 of 29

Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	1.562 1.672	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	7.81 8.36	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	1,109 1,187	984 875	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
	tons/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	0.0012 0.0013	0.0011 0.0010	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to	Final Destinat	<u>ion</u>			
Bus Route					
"New" Bus VMT/day 205	Daily bus-mi	les		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility modification, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impact					
Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0012 0.0013	0.0011 0.0010	From II. B above
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0012 0.0013	0.0011 0.0010	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0001 -0.0003	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Northwest Station - Second Expansion

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Expansion.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

		No-Action	With Facility	
Variable	Units	Value	Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehic Lot capacity	cle Volume vehicles	-	562	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	104.6%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	588	588	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission Average distance	<u>ns</u> miles 1-way	17.5	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	55	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes	19.1	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	44.1%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.

Facility: Northwest Station Page 20 of 29

Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.868 1.901	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	15.20 33.26	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	17,872 39,115	8,152 7,244	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
	tons/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	0.0197 0.0431	0.0090 0.0080	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to	Final Destination	<u>on</u>			
Bus Route					
"New" Bus VMT/day 214	Daily bus-mile	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility expansion, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impact					
Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0197 0.0431	0.0090 0.0080	From II. B above
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0197 0.0431	0.0090 0.0080	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0107 -0.0351	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Addicks Park & Ride - Second Expansion Phase 1

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Expansion.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehic				
Lot capacity	vehicles	-	398	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity	-	86.6%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day	345	345	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emission Average distance	<u>ns</u> miles 1-way	17.5	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph	30	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Avorago trip timo	minutes	2E 0	0.4	Distance langed * (40 min/hr)
Average trip time	minutes	35.0	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%	24.0%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.

Facility: Addicks Park & Ride Page 22 of 29

Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	1.077 1.366	1.925 1.711	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99) Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.			
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	18.85 23.91	6.93 6.16	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)			
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trips	VOC S NOx	13,006 16,496	4,783 4,250	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)			
	tons/day, all round trips	VOC S NOx	0.0143 0.0182	0.0053 0.0047	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to Final Destination								
Bus Route								
"New" Bus VMT/day 228,285	Daily bus-mil	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility expansion, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route			
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)			
III. Net Emissions Impact								
Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes			
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0143 0.0182	0.0053 0.0047	From II. B above			
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above			
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0143 0.0182	0.0053 0.0047	Sum of personal vehicle + bus			
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0091 -0.0135	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.			

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 6/23/00 prkride8.xls

Site: Bay Area Blvd. Park & Pool

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, LDDT.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, access trip for all vehicles is line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable	Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rates and Veh	icle Volume				
Lot capacity	vehicles		-	207	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles	% capacity		-	120.3%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Othization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume	veh/day		249	249	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emissio	ns				
Average distance	miles 1-way		20	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Access trip w/o facility = line-haul trip in non-HOV lanes.
Average speed	mph		55	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	minutes		21.8	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time in cold start mode	%		38.6%	97.4%	(505 sec)/(trip time) Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors	g/veh-mi	VOC	0.838	1.925	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99)
	0	NOx	1.864	1.711	Emission Factors are a composite based on % of time in cold start mode.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh,	VOC	16.77	6.93	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
	1-way	NOx	37.28	6.16	

Facility: Bay Area Blvd. Park & Pool

Page 24 of 29

Total vehicle emissions		g/day, all round trips	VOC	8,351 18,565	3,452 3,068	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		•				
		tons/day,	VOC	0.0092	0.0038	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
		all round trips	s NOx	0.0204	0.0034	
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From	Lot to	Final Destinati	<u>on</u>			
<u>Bus</u>	Route					
"New" Bus VMT/day 2	246	Daily bus-mile	es		195.64	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed 2	246	mph			27	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00).
						Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors 2	246	g/veh-mi	VOC		1.722	MOBILE5a_H with HGAC parameters (R. Kandalam fax 4/29/99)
		3	NOx		9.842	Emission Factors by Bus Route
Bus emissions 2	246	g/day	VOC		337	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT)
		g)	NOx		1,925	Bus Emissions by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC		0.0004	Sum of all Bus Routes
		,	NOx		0.0021	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impact						
·				No-Action	With Facility	
Variable		Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions		tons/day	VOC	0.0092	0.0038	From II. B above
			NOx	0.0204	0.0034	
Bus emissions		tons/day	VOC	0.0000	0.0004	From II. C above
		,	NOx	0.0000	0.0021	
Total emissions, all vehicles		tons/day	VOC	0.0092	0.0042	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
			NOx	0.0204	0.0055	
Net emissions change, all vel	hicles	tons/day	VOC	N.A.	-0.0050	Difference between facility and no-action case.
. 101 officiono offarigo, all vol	0.03	.on au	NOx	N.A.	-0.0149	Negative number = emissions decrease.
						5

Personal Veh. Mobile 5A_H Emission Factors (1999 from HGAC regional mix)

100% Cold Start

0% Cold Start

Speed	со	VOC	NOX	Speed	со	VOC	NOX
2.5	107.516	12.911	2.516	2.5	49.352	7.141	1.787
3.0	91.188	10.653	2.356	3.0	41.888	5.755	1.673
4.0	70.688	7.986	2.156	4.0	32.453	4.180	1.532
5.0	58.338	6.467	2.036	5.0	26.735	3.319	1.446
6.0	50.089	5.489	1.956	6.0	22.905	2.779	1.389
7.0	44.194	4.807	1.898	7.0	20.166	2.411	1.348
8.0	39.774	4.349	1.855	8.0	18.114	2.189	1.318
9.0	36.339	3.994	1.822	9.0	16.521	2.017	1.294
10.0	33.595	3.708	1.795	10.0	15.251	1.877	1.275
11.0	31.352	3.471	1.773	11.0	14.215	1.760	1.259
12.0	29.486	3.272	1.755	12.0	13.354	1.660	1.246
13.0	27.908	3.101	1.740	13.0	12.628	1.574	1.235
14.0	26.557	2.953	1.726	14.0	12.007	1.498	1.226
15.0	25.387	2.822	1.715	15.0	11.469	1.430	1.218
16.0	24.362	2.706	1.705	16.0	10.998	1.369	1.211
17.0	23.458	2.602	1.697	17.0	10.583	1.314	1.205
18.0	22.654	2.508	1.689	18.0	10.212	1.263	1.199
19.0	21.933	2.423	1.683	19.0	9.880	1.216	1.194
20.0	21.077	2.331	1.683	20.0	9.500	1.171	1.195
21.0	20.050	2.241	1.693	21.0	9.052	1.131	1.202
22.0	19.114	2.159	1.702	22.0	8.643	1.095	1.208
23.0	18.259	2.084	1.710	23.0	8.268	1.061	1.214
24.0	17.473	2.014	1.717	24.0	7.922	1.030	1.219
25.0	16.749	1.950	1.724	25.0	7.603	1.002	1.224
26.0	16.079	1.891	1.731	26.0	7.307	0.975	1.229
27.0	15.458	1.836	1.737	27.0	7.032	0.950	1.233
28.0	14.881	1.784	1.742	28.0	6.775	0.926	1.237
29.0	14.343	1.736	1.748	29.0	6.536	0.904	1.241
30.0	13.840	1.690	1.752	30.0	6.312	0.883	1.244
31.0	13.370	1.648	1.757	31.0	6.102	0.864	1.247
32.0	12.929	1.608	1.761	32.0	5.906	0.845	1.251
33.0	12.515	1.570	1.765	33.0	5.721	0.827	1.253
34.0	12.125	1.534	1.769	34.0	5.548	0.810	1.256
35.0	11.759	1.500	1.773	35.0	5.385	0.795	1.259
36.0	11.413	1.468	1.776	36.0	5.232	0.779	1.261
37.0	11.087	1.438	1.780	37.0	5.088	0.765	1.264
38.0	10.778	1.409	1.783	38.0	4.952	0.751	1.266

e - prkridez.xis.xis ETRO EF's	S		KIVI Ching En	vironmental inc.			12/5
39.0	10.487	1.382	1.786	39.0	4.825	0.738	1.268
40.0	10.210	1.356	1.789	40.0	4.704	0.725	1.270
41.0	9.948	1.331	1.791	41.0	4.591	0.713	1.272
42.0	9.700	1.307	1.794	42.0	4.484	0.702	1.274
43.0	9.463	1.284	1.797	43.0	4.383	0.690	1.276
44.0	9.238	1.262	1.799	44.0	4.287	0.680	1.278
45.0	9.024	1.241	1.802	45.0	4.196	0.669	1.280
46.0	8.820	1.221	1.804	46.0	4.110	0.659	1.281
47.0	8.625	1.202	1.806	47.0	4.028	0.650	1.283
48.0	8.438	1.183	1.809	48.0	3.950	0.640	1.285
49.0	8.438	1.181	1.875	49.0	3.950	0.639	1.331
50.0	8.438	1.180	1.941	50.0	3.950	0.637	1.377
51.0	8.438	1.178	2.007	51.0	3.950	0.635	1.423
52.0	8.438	1.176	2.074	52.0	3.950	0.634	1.469
53.0	8.438	1.175	2.140	53.0	3.950	0.632	1.515
54.0	8.438	1.173	2.206	54.0	3.950	0.631	1.561
55.0	8.438	1.172	2.273	55.0	3.950	0.629	1.607
56.0	9.449	1.199	2.339	56.0	4.521	0.648	1.653
57.0	10.460	1.225	2.405	57.0	5.091	0.666	1.699
58.0	11.471	1.252	2.472	58.0	5.662	0.684	1.745
59.0	12.482	1.279	2.538	59.0	6.233	0.702	1.791
60.0	13.493	1.305	2.604	60.0	6.803	0.721	1.837
61.0	14.504	1.332	2.671	61.0	7.374	0.739	1.883
62.0	15.514	1.359	2.737	62.0	7.945	0.758	1.930
63.0	16.525	1.386	2.804	63.0	8.516	0.776	1.976
64.0	17.536	1.413	2.870	64.0	9.086	0.795	2.022
65.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	65.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
66.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	66.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
67.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	67.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
68.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	68.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
69.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	69.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
70.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	70.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
71.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	71.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
72.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	72.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
73.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	73.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
74.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	74.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
75.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	75.0	9.657	0.814	2.068

Bus Mobile 5A_H Emission Factors (1999 HDDV)

Speed	СО	voc	NOX
2.5	37.454	4.821	19.096
3.0	35.932	4.696	18.679
4.0	33.115	4.458	17.893
5.0	30.575	4.237	17.163
6.0	28.281	4.029	16.487
7.0	26.207	3.836	15.860
8.0	24.329	3.654	15.279
9.0	22.627	3.485	14.740
10.0	21.082	3.326	14.240
11.0	19.679	3.177	13.776
12.0	18.402	3.038	13.347
13.0	17.240	2.907	12.949
14.0	16.180	2.784	12.581
15.0	15.213	2.669	12.241
16.0	14.331	2.561	11.927
17.0	13.523	2.459	11.637
18.0	12.785	2.364	11.371
19.0	12.109	2.274	11.127
20.0	11.489	2.190	10.903
21.0	10.922	2.110	10.699
22.0	10.401	2.035	10.514
23.0	9.923	1.965	10.346
24.0	9.484	1.899	10.196
25.0	9.081	1.836	10.062
26.0	8.711	1.777	9.944
27.0	8.371	1.722	9.842
28.0	8.060	1.670	9.754
29.0	7.774	1.621	9.681
30.0	7.511	1.574	9.622
31.0 32.0	7.271 7.052	1.530 1.489	9.577 9.545
33.0	6.851	1.451	9.545 9.528
34.0	6.668	1.414	9.526 9.524
35.0	6.502	1.380	9.533
36.0	6.352	1.347	9.556
37.0	6.217	1.347	9.593
38.0	6.095	1.288	9.643
39.0	5.987	1.261	9.708
40.0	5.891	1.236	9.787
	0.071	00	

41.0	5.807	1.212	9.880
42.0	5.735	1.190	9.989
43.0	5.675	1.169	10.113
44.0	5.625	1.150	10.253
45.0	5.586	1.132	10.410
46.0	5.557	1.115	10.584
47.0	5.538	1.099	10.777
48.0	5.529	1.085	10.989
49.0	5.531	1.072	11.220
50.0	5.542	1.059	11.473
51.0	5.564	1.048	11.749
52.0	5.596	1.038	12.048
53.0	5.639	1.029	12.372
54.0	5.692	1.021	12.723
55.0	5.756	1.014	13.102
56.0	5.831	1.008	13.512
57.0	5.918	1.002	13.955
58.0	6.018	0.998	14.432
59.0	6.130	0.994	14.947
60.0	6.255	0.992	15.503
61.0	6.395	0.990	16.102
62.0	6.550	0.989	16.748
63.0	6.721	0.989	17.444
64.0	6.909	0.990	18.196
65.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
66.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
67.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
68.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
69.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
70.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
71.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
72.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
73.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
74.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
75.0	7.115	0.992	19.006

Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

PARK & RIDE FACILITIES SUMMARY

Park & Ride Facility	Net Emissions Change VOC	in 2007 (tons/day) NOx
Tidwell Transit Center	-0.00035	-0.0006
Mesa Transit Center	-0.0003	-0.0005
Hillcroft Transit Center	-0.0023	-0.0037
Mission Bend Park & Ride	-0.0003	-0.0002
West Bellfort Park & Ride	-0.0096	-0.0109
Kingwood Park & Ride - Modification	-0.0031	-0.0051
Northwest Station - Second Expansion	-0.0234	-0.0389
Addicks Park & Ride - Second Expansion Phase 1	-0.0229	-0.0381
Bay Area Boulevard Park & Pool	-0.0026	-0.0038
Total all facilities (negative number indicates emissions decrease)	-0.0649	-0.1017

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Tidwell Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

<u>Variable</u>		Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	es and Vehicl	e Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	809	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily v	ehicles	% capacity	-	10.0%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand	volume	veh/day	81	81	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle T	rip Emissions	<u> </u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
-	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>6</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	9.6	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)

Average trip time	Access Line-Haul Total	minutes minutes minutes		8.6 <u>8.0</u> 16.6	8.6 <u>N.A.</u> 8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.539 0.607	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle t	trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	5.176 5.825	3.221 2.579	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	5	g/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	838 944	522 418	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	0.0009 0.0010	0.0006 0.0005	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip	From Lot to	Final Destina	tion_			
	Bus Route	<u>!</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day	4,45,54, 57,83	Daily bus-m	iles		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility, per METRO (<i>Park & Ride SIP Commitment Analysis</i> , 5/2/00). Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00) Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Im	pact			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable		Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emiss	sions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.00092 0.0010	0.00057 0.0005	From II. B above
Bus emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx	0.00000 0.0000	0.00000 0.0000	From II. C above

Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.00092 0.0010	0.00057 0.0005	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.00035 -0.0006	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Mesa Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	es and Vehic	le Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	100	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily v	ehicles	% capacity	-	90.0%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand	volume	veh/day	90	90	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle T	rip Emissions	<u>S</u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>5</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	8.6	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
J	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)

Average trip time	Access Line-Haul Total	minutes minutes minutes		8.6 <u>6.7</u> 15.3	8.6 <u>N.A.</u> 8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.564 0.614	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle tr	rip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	4.85 5.28	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions		g/day, all round tri	VOC ps NOx	873 951	580 464	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round tri	VOC ps NOx	0.0010 0.0010	0.0006 0.0005	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip F	From Lot to	Final Destina	<u>tion</u>			
	Bus Route	<u>}</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day	-	Daily bus-m	niles		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility, per METRO (<i>Park & Ride SIP Commitment Analysis</i> , 5/2/00). Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00) Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Imp	oact			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable		Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissi	ions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0010 0.0010	0.0006 0.0005	From II. B above
Bus emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above

Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0010 0.0010	0.0006 0.0005	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0003 -0.0005	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Hillcroft Transit Center

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

Variable	, ,	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	es and Vehic	<u>cle Volume</u>			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	895	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles		% capacity	-	75.0%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume		veh/day	671	671	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Trip Emissions					
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>5.28</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	8.88	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	Access	minutes	8.6	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
	Line-Haul	minutes	<u>7.0</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	
	Total	minutes	15.7	8.6	

% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.556 0.612	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle t	rip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	4.94 5.44	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	5	g/day, all round trips	VOC s NOx	6,631 7,294	4,322 3,461	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trips	VOC s NOx	0.0073 0.0080	0.0048 0.0038	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip	From Lot to	Final Destinati	<u>ion</u>			
	Bus Route	<u>!</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day	132 163	Daily bus-mil	les		16.52 56.76	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed	132 163	mph			13 13	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors	132	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.751 7.030	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission Factors by Bus Route
	163	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.751 7.030	
Bus emissions	132	g/day	VOC NOx		45 116	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
	163	g/day	VOC NOx		156 399	
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0002 0.0006	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Imp	oact			No-Action	With Facility	

Variable	Units		Value	Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0073 0.0080	0.0048 0.0038	From II. B above
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0002 0.0006	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0073 0.0080	0.0050 0.0044	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	s tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0023 -0.0037	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Mission Bend Park & Ride - NOT INCLUDED IN 2007 SIP COMMITMENT

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

Variable		Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	es and Vehic	le Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	826	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Utilization rate, daily vehicles		% capacity	-	12.3%	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand volume		veh/day	102	102	Capacity * utilization rate.
B. Personal Vehicle T	rip Emissions	<u>S</u>			
Average distance	Access Line-Haul Total	miles 1-way miles 1-way miles 1-way	3.6 <u>7.5</u> 11.1	3.6 <u>N.A.</u> 3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access Line-Haul	mph mph	25 45	25 N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)

Average trip time	Access Line-Haul Total	minutes minutes minutes		8.6 <u>10.0</u> 18.6	8.6 <u>N.A.</u> 8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.510 0.598	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle t	rip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	5.66 6.64	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	3	g/day, all round trips	VOC s NOx	1,156 1,354	657 526	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trips	VOC S NOx	0.0013 0.0015	0.0007 0.0006	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip	From Lot to	Final Destinati	<u>on</u>			
	Bus Route	<u>}</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day	2 25 132	Daily bus-mil	es		58.26 0 28.91	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Route 25 listed as "NA" in METRO data; zero entered as placeholder.
Line-haul ave. speed	2 25 132	mph			11 12 16	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors	2	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		3.007 7.479	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission Factors by Bus Route
	25	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.875 7.246	
	132	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		2.424 6.475	

Bus emissions	2	g/day	VOC NOx		175 436	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
	25	g/day	VOC NOx		0 0	
	132	g/day	VOC NOx		70 187	
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0003 0.0007	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impact	İ					
Variable		Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	;	tons/day	VOC	0.0013	0.0007	From II. B above

		NOx	0.0015	0.0006	
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0003 0.0007	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0013 0.0015	0.0010 0.0013	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0003 -0.0002	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: West Bellfort Park & Ride

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable		Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes		
A. Facility Usage Rates and Vehicle Volume							
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	1,200	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)		
Utilization rate, daily v	ehicles	% capacity	-	100.0%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)		
Daily vehicle demand	Daily vehicle demand volume		1,200	1,200	Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons. Capacity * utilization rate		
B. Personal Vehicle T	rip Emission	<u>S</u>					
Average distance	Access Line-Haul Total	miles 1-way miles 1-way miles 1-way	3.6 <u>15</u> 18.6	3.6 <u>N.A.</u> 3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)		
Average speed	Access Line-Haul	mph mph	25 45	25 N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99) METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)		
Average trip time	Access Line-Haul Total	minutes minutes minutes	8.6 20.0 28.6	8.6 <u>N.A.</u> 8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)		
% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %	97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.		

Facility: West Bellfort Park & Ride

Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs	g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.436 0.575	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	8.11 10.69	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	g/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	19,460 25,665	7,730 6,189	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
	tons/day, all round trip	VOC os NOx	0.0214 0.0283	0.0085 0.0068	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From Lot to	Final Destina	<u>tion</u>			
Bus Route	<u>5</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day 265	Daily bus-m	iles		1,778.98	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed 8	mph			26	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors 265	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		1.682 5.399	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission Factors by Bus Route
Bus emissions 265	g/day	VOC NOx		2,992 9,604	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
Bus total emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0033 0.0106	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impact					
Variable	Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0214 0.0283	0.0085 0.0068	From II. B above
Bus emissions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0033 0.0106	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0214 0.0283	0.0118 0.0174	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	N.A.	-0.0096	Difference between facility and no-action case.

NOx N.A. -0.0109 Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Kingwood Park & Ride - Modification

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Modifications.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

Variable	, ,	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rat	es and Vehic	ele Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	1,034	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily v	ehicles	% capacity	-	82.5%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand	volume	veh/day	853	853	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle	Trip Emission	<u> S</u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>5</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	8.6	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	Access	minutes	8.6	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
	Line-Haul	minutes	<u>6.7</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	
	Total	minutes	15.3	8.6	

% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.564 0.614	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle	trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	4.85 5.28	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	5	g/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	8,274 9,014	5,495 4,399	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trips	VOC NOx	0.0091 0.0099	0.0061 0.0048	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip	From Lot to	Final Destinati	<u>on</u>			
	Bus Route	!				
"New" Bus VMT/day	205	Daily bus-mil	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility modification, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Im	pact					
Variable		Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emiss	sions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0091 0.0099	0.0061 0.0048	From II. B above
Bus emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	From II. C above
Total emissions, all vel	nicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0091 0.0099	0.0061 0.0048	Sum of personal vehicle + bus

Net emissions change, all vehicles tons/day	VOC	N.A.	-0.0031	Difference between facility and no-action case.
	NOx	N.A.	-0.0051	Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Northwest Station - Second Expansion

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Expansion.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

Variable		Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
variable		UIIIIS	value	value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	s and Vehicl	e Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	1,755	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily ve	ehicles	% capacity	-	106.2%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand v	olume/	veh/day	1,864	1,864	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle Tr	rip Emissions	<u>S</u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
, and the second	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>17.5</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	21.1	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	Access	minutes	8.6	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
	Line-Haul	minutes	23.3	<u>N.A.</u>	,
	Total	minutes	32.0	8.6	

Facility: Northwest Station

0/ 611 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		0/		07.40/	07.40/	/FOF
70 01 11110 01 11111 111	iccess ine-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg.		g/veh-mi	VOC	0.423	0.895	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)).
over all trip legs		g/veh-mi	NOx	0.571	0.716	Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle trip		g/veh,	VOC	8.92	3.22	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
		1-way	NOx	12.05	2.58	
Total vehicle emissions		g/day,	VOC	33,265	12,008	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
Total verticle emissions		all round trips		44,909	9,613	(1-way emissions/vehicle) (2 inps/day) (number of vehicles/day)
					.,	
		tons/day,	VOC	0.0366	0.0132	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
		all round trips	NOx	0.0495	0.0106	
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip From	m Lot to F	Final Destinatio	n			
						
<u>Bi</u>	us Route					
"New" Bus VMT/day	214	Daily bus-mile	es		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility expansion, per METRO
"New" Bus VMT/day	214	Daily bus-mile	es .		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility expansion, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00).
"New" Bus VMT/day	214	Daily bus-mile	es		0	
·	214	·				(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
"New" Bus VMT/day Bus total emissions	214	Daily bus-mile	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00).
Bus total emissions		·	VOC		0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes
·		·	VOC	No. Action	0.0000 0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes
Bus total emissions		·	VOC	No-Action Value	0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable	ct	tons/day Units	VOC NOx	Value	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac	ct	tons/day	VOC NOx	Value 0.0366	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0132	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable	ct	tons/day Units	VOC NOx	Value	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable	ct	tons/day Units	VOC NOx	Value 0.0366	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0132	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable Personal vehicle emissions	ct	tons/day Units tons/day	VOC NOx VOC NOx	0.0366 0.0495	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0132 0.0106	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes From II. B above
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable Personal vehicle emissions Bus emissions	ct s	tons/day Units tons/day tons/day	VOC NOX VOC NOX VOC	0.0366 0.0495 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0132 0.0106 0.0000 0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes From II. B above From II. C above
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac Variable Personal vehicle emissions	ct s	tons/day Units tons/day	VOC NOX VOC NOX	0.0366 0.0495 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0132 0.0106 0.0000	(P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes From II. B above

Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC	N.A.	-0.0234	Difference between facility and no-action case.
		NOx	N.A.	-0.0389	Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Addicks Park & Ride - Second Expansion Phase 1

I. Assumptions

Existing facility with Expansion.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis.

For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

II. Emission Calculations by Trip Segment and Mode

			No-Action	With Facility	
Variable		Units	Value	Value	Sources/Notes
A E 1111 II D					
A. Facility Usage Ra	tes and Vehic	cle Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	1,999	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily	vehicles	% capacity	-	91.4%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand	l volume	veh/day	1,827	1,827	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle	Trip Emission	<u>IS</u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Ü	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>17.5</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	21.1	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
3 1	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	Access	minutes	8.6	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
Average inp line	Line-Haul	minutes	23.3		Distance/speed (00 min/mi)
	Total	minutes	<u>23.3</u> 32.0	<u>N.A.</u> 8.6	
	iviai	าาเกนเธอ	32.0	0.0	

Facility: Addicks Park & Ride

	ccess ine-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.423 0.571	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle trip		g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	8.92 12.05	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions		g/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	32,604 44,017	11,769 9,422	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	0.0359 0.0485	0.0130 0.0104	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip Fro	m Lot to F	Final Destinat	<u>ion</u>			
<u>Bu</u>	us Route					
"New" Bus VMT/day 2	228,285	Daily bus-mi	les		0	No added bus service due to park & ride facility expansion, per METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00) Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0000 0.0000	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
Bus total emissions III. Net Emissions Impac		tons/day				
	ct	tons/day Units		No-Action Value		
III. Net Emissions Impac	ct	·			0.0000 With Facility	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Impac	ct us	Units	NOx	Value 0.0359	0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0130	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes
Variable Personal vehicle emissions	ct as	Units tons/day	VOC NOx VOC	0.0359 0.0485 0.0000	0.0000 With Facility Value 0.0130 0.0104 0.0000	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton) Sources/Notes From II. B above

NOx N.A. -0.0381

Negative number = emissions decrease.

KM Chng Environmental Inc. Rev. 11/01/00 PARKRIDE10-2007.XLS

Site: Bay Area Boulevard Park & Pool

I. Assumptions

New facility.

All users arrive by personal vehicle, with vehicle occupancy equal to regional average.

Personal vehicles assumed to consist of MOBILE5 types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, MC.

Emission factors for both personal vehicles and buses (HDDV) are from MOBILE5b per TTI/TNRCC.

All personal vehicles begin access trip with cold start (no hot starts or stabilized).

No users arrive by walk, bicycle, or "kiss & ride" (dropoff/pickup). Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion.

All users ride the bus - no carpool or vanpool staging at lot. Assumption not revised, per METRO discussion. Vanpool staging accounted for separately in vanpool analysis. For "No-Action" case, trip distance for all vehicles is assumed to equal sum of facility access trip and line-haul trip to final destination.

Variable	, ,	Units	No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
A. Facility Usage Rate	es and Vehic	le Volume			
Lot capacity		vehicles	-	207	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00)
Utilization rate, daily v	ehicles	% capacity	-	100.0%	METRO (2007 P&R SUMMARY.XLS, 10/19/00) Utilization interpreted as vehicles parked in spaces, not persons.
Daily vehicle demand	volume	veh/day	207	207	Capacity * utilization rate
B. Personal Vehicle T	rip Emission	<u>S</u>			
Average distance	Access	miles 1-way	3.6	3.6	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
9	Line-Haul	miles 1-way	<u>20</u>	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Total	miles 1-way	23.6	3.6	METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/30/00, for use of sum of distances without facility)
Average speed	Access	mph	25	25	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
	Line-Haul	mph	45	N.A.	METRO (99P&RDATA.XLS, 11/24/99)
Average trip time	Access	minutes	8.6	8.6	Distance/speed * (60 min/hr)
	Line-Haul	minutes	<u>26.7</u>	<u>N.A.</u>	
	Total	minutes	35.3	8.6	

% of time or VMT in cold start mode	Access Line-Haul	% %		97.4% 0.0%	97.4% N.A.	(505 sec)/(trip time) by trip leg Cold start % varied based on speed/trip length.
Emission factors, avg. over all trip legs		g/veh-mi g/veh-mi	VOC NOx	0.413 0.568	0.895 0.716	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission factors are composite based on % time in cold start mode by trip leg.
Emissions per vehicle	trip	g/veh, 1-way	VOC NOx	9.74 13.40	3.22 2.58	(Emission factor) * (trip distance)
Total vehicle emissions	S	g/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	4,031 5,547	1,333 1,068	(1-Way emissions/vehicle) * (2 trips/day) * (number of vehicles/day)
		tons/day, all round trip	VOC s NOx	0.0044 0.0061	0.0015 0.0012	Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
C. Line-Haul Bus Trip	From Lot to	Final Destinat	ion			
	Bus Route	<u>)</u>				
"New" Bus VMT/day	246	Daily bus-mi	les		195.64	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Same for 2007 per METRO (L.Mifsud phone 10/26/00). Daily Total Miles (not Revenue Miles) by Bus Route
Line-haul ave. speed	246	mph			27	METRO (P&R SIP Commitment Analysis.XLS, 5/23/00). Speed by Bus Route
Bus emission factors	246	g/veh-mi	VOC NOx		1.630 5.343	MOBILE5b (e-mail 10/13/00 Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng)). Emission Factors by Bus Route
Bus emissions	246	g/day	VOC NOx		319 1,045	(Emission factor) * (bus VMT) Bus Emissions by Bus Route
Bus total emissions		tons/day	VOC NOx		0.0004 0.0012	Sum of all Bus Routes Conversion: (g/day) / (454 g/lb) / (2000 lb/ton)
III. Net Emissions Im	pact			NI- A-ti	Maria F 111a -	
Variable		Units		No-Action Value	With Facility Value	Sources/Notes
Personal vehicle emiss	sions	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0044 0.0061	0.0015 0.0012	From II. B above
Bus emissions		tons/day	VOC	0.0000	0.0004	From II. C above

		NOx	0.0000	0.0012	
Total emissions, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	0.0044 0.0061	0.0018 0.0023	Sum of personal vehicle + bus
Net emissions change, all vehicles	tons/day	VOC NOx	N.A. N.A.	-0.0026 -0.0038	Difference between facility and no-action case. Negative number = emissions decrease.

EMISSION FACTORS FOR PERSONAL VEHICLES - 2007

Source: MOBILE5b runs with TTI/TNRCC parameters, per e-mail from Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng) 10/13/00). Used TTI files HA0724T1.TR2 with operating modes 100%,0%,100%, and HA0724T2.TR2 with operating modes 0%,0%,0%. Emission factors for specific cold start % are derived for lookup tables by weighting these two runs. To derive emission factors for personal vehicles, only types LDGV, LDGT1, LDDV, and MC are used, and VMT mix has been renormalized. VMT mix for normalizing was provided by e-mail from Dennis Perkinson (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng) 10/13/00, as file VMIX_ONE.LST.

Range	name: Persona	al_Veh_100)_CS	Range name: Personal_Veh_0_CS					
	sonal Vehicles			-	onal Vehicles				
Speed	CO	VOC	NOx	Speed	CO	VOC	NOx		
3	47.241	4.767	0.992	3	20.979	2.706	0.715		
4	37.067	3.527	0.907	4	16.460	1.916	0.653		
5	30.959	2.842	0.856	5	13.745	1.502	0.616		
6	26.884	2.410	0.822	6	11.934	1.251	0.592		
7	23.972	2.114	0.797	7	10.639	1.084	0.574		
8	21.788	1.921	0.779	8	9.668	0.987	0.561		
9	20.089	1.772	0.765	9	8.912	0.914	0.551		
10	18.730	1.653	0.753	10	8.308	0.855	0.543		
11	17.618	1.555	0.744	11	7.814	0.806	0.536		
12	16.691	1.472	0.736	12	7.402	0.764	0.530		
13	15.907	1.402	0.729	13	7.053	0.729	0.526		
14	15.236	1.341	0.724	14	6.754	0.698	0.521		
15	14.653	1.288	0.719	15	6.495	0.670	0.518		
16	14.144	1.241	0.715	16	6.269	0.646	0.515		
17	13.694	1.200	0.711	17	6.069	0.624	0.512		
18	13.294	1.162	0.708	18	5.891	0.605	0.510		
19	12.937	1.129	0.705	19	5.733	0.587	0.508		
20	12.405	1.087	0.705	20	5.497	0.566	0.508		
21	11.721	1.044	0.709	21	5.195	0.547	0.511		
22	11.100	1.005	0.713	22	4.920	0.529	0.513		
23	10.532	0.969	0.716	23	4.669	0.512	0.516		
24	10.012	0.936	0.719	24	4.439	0.497	0.518		
25	9.533	0.906	0.722	25	4.228	0.483	0.520		
26	9.091	0.878	0.724	26	4.032	0.470	0.522		
27	8.682	0.852	0.726	27	3.852	0.458	0.523		
28	8.301	0.827	0.729	28	3.684	0.446	0.525		
29	7.948	0.805	0.731	29	3.527	0.436	0.526		
30	7.617	0.784	0.732	30	3.381	0.426	0.528		
31	7.308	0.764	0.734	31	3.245	0.417	0.529		
32	7.019	0.745	0.736	32	3.117	0.408	0.530		
33	6.747	0.728	0.737	33	2.996	0.399	0.531		
34	6.491	0.711	0.739	34	2.883	0.392	0.532		
35	6.249	0.695	0.740	35	2.777	0.384	0.533		
36	6.021	0.681	0.742	36	2.676	0.377	0.534		
37	5.806	0.666	0.743	37	2.580	0.370	0.535		
38	5.601	0.653	0.744	38	2.490	0.364	0.536		
39	5.408	0.640	0.745	39	2.405	0.358	0.537		

40	5.223	0.628	0.746	40	2.323	0.352	0.538
41	5.048	0.617	0.747	41	2.246	0.346	0.538
42	4.882	0.606	0.748	42	2.172	0.341	0.539
43	4.723	0.595	0.749	43	2.102	0.336	0.540
44	4.571	0.585	0.750	44	2.035	0.331	0.540
45	4.426	0.575	0.751	45	1.971	0.326	0.541
46	4.287	0.566	0.752	46	1.910	0.321	0.542
47	4.155	0.557	0.753	47	1.851	0.317	0.542
48	4.027	0.548	0.753	48	1.795	0.312	0.543
49	4.027	0.547	0.778	49	1.795	0.311	0.560
50	4.027	0.546	0.802	50	1.795	0.310	0.577
51	4.027	0.545	0.826	51	1.795	0.309	0.594
52	4.027	0.544	0.851	52	1.795	0.308	0.611
53	4.027	0.543	0.875	53	1.795	0.307	0.628
54	4.027	0.542	0.899	54	1.795	0.306	0.645
55	4.027	0.541	0.924	55	1.795	0.306	0.662
56	4.356	0.549	0.948	56	1.970	0.311	0.679
57	4.685	0.557	0.973	57	2.146	0.317	0.697
58	5.014	0.565	0.997	58	2.322	0.323	0.714
59	5.343	0.573	1.021	59	2.497	0.329	0.731
60	5.672	0.582	1.046	60	2.673	0.335	0.748
61	6.001	0.590	1.070	61	2.848	0.341	0.765
62	6.330	0.598	1.094	62	3.024	0.347	0.782
63	6.659	0.606	1.119	63	3.200	0.353	0.799
64	6.988	0.614	1.143	64	3.375	0.360	0.816
65	7.317	0.623	1.168	65	3.551	0.366	0.833
66				66			
67				67			
68				68			
69				69			
70				70			
71				71			
72				72			
73				73			
74				74			
75				75			

EMISSION FACTORS FOR BUSES (HDDV) - 2007

Source: MOBILE5b with TTI/TNRCC parameters, per e-mail from Teresa Qu (TTI) to David Ernst (KM Chng) 10/13/00). TTI file HA0724T1.TR2 with operating modes 100%, 0%, 100%. Emission factors for HDDV used (insensitive to cold start %).

Range name: Bus_EF 2007 Buses (HDDV)				
Speed	co `	VOC	NOx	
3	21.167	4.444	10.141	
4	19.722	4.219	9.714	
5	18.409	4.009	9.318	
6	17.215	3.813	8.951	
7	16.127	3.630	8.610	
8	15.136	3.458	8.295	
9	14.232	3.298	8.002	
10	13.406	3.147	7.731	
11	12.651	3.007	7.479	
12	11.960	2.875	7.477	
13	11.327	2.751	7.240	
14	10.748	2.635	6.830	
15	10.746	2.526	6.645	
16	9.729	2.320		
			6.475	
17	9.282	2.327	6.318	
18	8.872	2.237	6.173	
19	8.495	2.152	6.040	
20	8.149	2.072	5.919	
21	7.831	1.997	5.808	
22	7.540	1.926	5.708	
23	7.272	1.860	5.617	
24	7.027	1.797	5.535	
25	6.802	1.738	5.463	
26	6.597	1.682	5.399	
27	6.409	1.630	5.343	
28	6.238	1.580	5.295	
29	6.083	1.534	5.256	
30	5.942	1.490	5.224	
31	5.815	1.448	5.199	
32	5.702	1.409	5.182	
33	5.600	1.373	5.173	
34	5.511	1.338	5.170	
35	5.433	1.305	5.175	
36	5.365	1.275	5.188	
37	5.309	1.246	5.208	
38	5.262	1.219	5.235	
39	5.225	1.193	5.270	
40	5.198	1.169	5.313	
41	5.181	1.147	5.364	
42	5.173	1.126	5.423	
43	5.174	1.106	5.490	
44	5.185	1.088	5.566	
45	5.205	1.071	5.652	
46	5.235	1.055	5.746	
47	5.275	1.040	5.851	
48	5.324	1.027	5.966	
49	5.384	1.014	6.091	
50	5.455	1.003	6.229	
F4	F F0.	0.000		

5.536

0.992

6.378

51

52	5.629	0.982	6.541
53	5.734	0.974	6.716
54	5.852	0.966	6.907
55	5.982	0.959	7.113
56	6.127	0.954	7.336
57	6.287	0.949	7.576
58	6.463	0.944	7.835
59	6.656	0.941	8.115
60	10.141	0.939	8.416
61	9.714	0.937	8.742
62	9.318	0.936	9.092
63	8.951	0.936	9.470
64	8.610	0.937	9.878
65	8.295	0.939	10.318
66			
67			
68			
69			
70			
71			
72			
73			
74			
75			

Personal Veh. Mobile 5A_H Emission Factors (1999 from HGAC regional mix)

100% Cold Start 0% Cold Start

2.5 107.516 12.911 2.516 2.5 49.352 7.14 3.0 91.188 10.653 2.356 3.0 41.888 5.75 4.0 70.688 7.986 2.156 4.0 32.453 4.18	1.673 30 1.532 9 1.446 79 1.389 1 1.348
4.0 70.688 7.986 2.156 4.0 32.453 4.18	1.532 9 1.446 79 1.389 1 1.348
	9 1.446 79 1.389 1 1.348
= 0 000 () () = -	79 1.389 1 1.348
5.0 58.338 6.467 2.036 5.0 26.735 3.3	1 1.348
6.0 50.089 5.489 1.956 6.0 22.905 2.77	
7.0 44.194 4.807 1.898 7.0 20.166 2.4	9 1.318
8.0 39.774 4.349 1.855 8.0 18.114 2.18	
9.0 36.339 3.994 1.822 9.0 16.521 2.0	7 1.294
10.0 33.595 3.708 1.795 10.0 15.251 1.87	7 1.275
11.0 31.352 3.471 1.773 11.0 14.215 1.76	0 1.259
12.0 29.486 3.272 1.755 12.0 13.354 1.66	0 1.246
13.0 27.908 3.101 1.740 13.0 12.628 1.57	1.235
14.0 26.557 2.953 1.726 14.0 12.007 1.49	98 1.226
15.0 25.387 2.822 1.715 15.0 11.469 1.43	30 1.218
16.0 24.362 2.706 1.705 16.0 10.998 1.36	9 1.211
17.0 23.458 2.602 1.697 17.0 10.583 1.3°	4 1.205
18.0 22.654 2.508 1.689 18.0 10.212 1.26	3 1.199
19.0 21.933 2.423 1.683 19.0 9.880 1.2°	6 1.194
20.0 21.077 2.331 1.683 20.0 9.500 1.17	'1 1.195
21.0 20.050 2.241 1.693 21.0 9.052 1.13	1.202
22.0 19.114 2.159 1.702 22.0 8.643 1.09	5 1.208
23.0 18.259 2.084 1.710 23.0 8.268 1.06	1.214
24.0 17.473 2.014 1.717 24.0 7.922 1.03	30 1.219
25.0 16.749 1.950 1.724 25.0 7.603 1.00	1.224
26.0 16.079 1.891 1.731 26.0 7.307 0.97	['] 5 1.229
27.0 15.458 1.836 1.737 27.0 7.032 0.95	1.233
28.0 14.881 1.784 1.742 28.0 6.775 0.92	26 1.237
29.0 14.343 1.736 1.748 29.0 6.536 0.90	1.241
30.0 13.840 1.690 1.752 30.0 6.312 0.88	3 1.244
31.0 13.370 1.648 1.757 31.0 6.102 0.86	1.247
32.0 12.929 1.608 1.761 32.0 5.906 0.84	1.251
33.0 12.515 1.570 1.765 33.0 5.721 0.82	27 1.253
34.0 12.125 1.534 1.769 34.0 5.548 0.8°	0 1.256
35.0 11.759 1.500 1.773 35.0 5.385 0.79	1.259
36.0 11.413 1.468 1.776 36.0 5.232 0.77	9 1.261
37.0 11.087 1.438 1.780 37.0 5.088 0.76	5 1.264
38.0 10.778 1.409 1.783 38.0 4.952 0.75	1.266
39.0 10.487 1.382 1.786 39.0 4.825 0.73	1.268
40.0 10.210 1.356 1.789 40.0 4.704 0.72	25 1.270
41.0 9.948 1.331 1.791 41.0 4.591 0.7°	3 1.272
42.0 9.700 1.307 1.794 42.0 4.484 0.70	1.274

43.0	9.463	1.284	1.797	43.0	4.383	0.690	1.276
44.0	9.238	1.262	1.799	44.0	4.287	0.680	1.278
45.0	9.024	1.241	1.802	45.0	4.196	0.669	1.280
46.0	8.820	1.221	1.804	46.0	4.110	0.659	1.281
47.0	8.625	1.202	1.806	47.0	4.028	0.650	1.283
48.0	8.438	1.183	1.809	48.0	3.950	0.640	1.285
49.0	8.438	1.181	1.875	49.0	3.950	0.639	1.331
50.0	8.438	1.180	1.941	50.0	3.950	0.637	1.377
51.0	8.438	1.178	2.007	51.0	3.950	0.635	1.423
52.0	8.438	1.176	2.074	52.0	3.950	0.634	1.469
53.0	8.438	1.175	2.140	53.0	3.950	0.632	1.515
54.0	8.438	1.173	2.206	54.0	3.950	0.631	1.561
55.0	8.438	1.172	2.273	55.0	3.950	0.629	1.607
56.0	9.449	1.199	2.339	56.0	4.521	0.648	1.653
57.0	10.460	1.225	2.405	57.0	5.091	0.666	1.699
58.0	11.471	1.252	2.472	58.0	5.662	0.684	1.745
59.0	12.482	1.279	2.538	59.0	6.233	0.702	1.791
60.0	13.493	1.305	2.604	60.0	6.803	0.721	1.837
61.0	14.504	1.332	2.671	61.0	7.374	0.739	1.883
62.0	15.514	1.359	2.737	62.0	7.945	0.758	1.930
63.0	16.525	1.386	2.804	63.0	8.516	0.776	1.976
64.0	17.536	1.413	2.870	64.0	9.086	0.795	2.022
65.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	65.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
66.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	66.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
67.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	67.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
68.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	68.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
69.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	69.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
70.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	70.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
71.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	71.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
72.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	72.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
73.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	73.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
74.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	74.0	9.657	0.814	2.068
75.0	18.547	1.440	2.936	75.0	9.657	0.814	2.068

Bus Mobile 5A_H Emission Factors (1999 HDDV)

Speed	со	voc	NOX
2.5	37.454	4.821	19.096
3.0	35.932	4.696	18.679
4.0	33.115	4.458	17.893
5.0	30.575	4.237	17.163
6.0	28.281	4.029	16.487
7.0	26.207	3.836	15.860
8.0	24.329	3.654	15.279
9.0	22.627	3.485	14.740
10.0	21.082	3.326	14.240
11.0	19.679	3.177	13.776
12.0	18.402	3.038	13.347
13.0	17.240	2.907	12.949
14.0	16.180	2.784	12.581
15.0	15.213	2.669	12.241
16.0	14.331	2.561	11.927
17.0	13.523	2.459	11.637
18.0	12.785	2.364	11.371
19.0	12.109	2.274	11.127
20.0	11.489	2.190	10.903
21.0	10.922	2.110	10.699
22.0	10.401	2.035	10.514
23.0	9.923	1.965	10.346
24.0	9.484	1.899	10.196
25.0	9.081	1.836	10.062
26.0	8.711	1.777	9.944
27.0	8.371	1.722	9.842
28.0	8.060	1.670	9.754
29.0	7.774	1.621	9.681
30.0	7.511	1.574	9.622
31.0	7.271	1.530	9.577
32.0	7.052	1.489	9.545
33.0	6.851	1.451	9.528
34.0	6.668	1.414	9.524
35.0	6.502	1.380	9.533
36.0	6.352	1.347	9.556
37.0	6.217	1.317	9.593
38.0	6.095	1.288	9.643
39.0	5.987	1.261	9.708

10.0	E 004	1.007	0.707
40.0	5.891	1.236	9.787
41.0	5.807	1.212	9.880
42.0	5.735	1.190	9.989
43.0	5.675	1.169	10.113
44.0	5.625	1.150	10.253
45.0	5.586	1.132	10.410
46.0	5.557	1.115	10.584
47.0	5.538	1.099	10.777
48.0	5.529	1.085	10.989
49.0	5.531	1.072	11.220
50.0	5.542	1.059	11.473
51.0	5.564	1.048	11.749
52.0	5.596	1.038	12.048
53.0	5.639	1.029	12.372
54.0	5.692	1.021	12.723
55.0	5.756	1.014	13.102
56.0	5.831	1.008	13.512
57.0	5.918	1.002	13.955
58.0	6.018	0.998	14.432
59.0	6.130	0.994	14.947
60.0	6.255	0.992	15.503
61.0	6.395	0.990	16.102
62.0	6.550	0.989	16.748
63.0	6.721	0.989	17.444
64.0	6.909	0.990	18.196
65.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
66.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
67.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
68.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
69.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
70.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
71.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
72.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
73.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
74.0	7.115	0.992	19.006
75.0	7.115	0.992	19.006

MEMORANDUM

To: Lynda Mifsud (METRO)

From: David Ernst (KM Chng Environmental Inc.)

Date: 28 June 2000

Subject: Light Rail Downtown to Astrodome - Emissions Analysis Results

KM Chng Environmental Inc. (or KM Chng) has evaluated the emissions effects of the proposed light rail line, based on the *Downtown to Astrodome Corridor Major Investment Study/Environmental Assessment* (MIS/EA) issued by METRO in August 1999. The MIS/EA estimated emissions reductions in the year 2020 due to the anticipated decrease in vehicle-miles traveled (VMT) with implementation of the light rail line (the primary forecast). The MIS/EA also discussed, but did not quantify, impacts of additional rail ridership due to a rail preference effect and impacts of reduced VMT due to induced development.

KM Chng estimated the emissions reductions expected for the primary forecast, rail preference, and induced development, for the SIP attainment year of 2007. The attached Table 1 summarizes the emissions results, and shows that the estimated total emission reductions from all three components are about 0.61 tons per day of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and 0.22 tons per day of volatile organic compounds (VOC). The complete analyses are contained in the files transmitted to you on 12/13/99 (as adjusted 2/14/00) for the primary forecast, 2/14/00 for rail preference, and 3/27/00 for induced development.

The change in emissions is calculated as the forecasted change in VMT times an emission factor (expressed in grams per VMT). Emission factors were calculated for the year 2007 using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency MOBILE5a_h model with inputs provided by the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC). Emission rates for passenger vehicles (autos and light trucks) were calculated based on the regional vehicle mix provided by H-GAC, but excluding the commercial and heavy vehicle types. Buses were assigned emission factors for the MOBILE5a_h Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicle class.

Primary Forecast: Estimated VMT reductions were provided by Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade Douglas (PBQD), based on forecasted boardings data from the MIS/EA after adjustment to reflect 2007 levels. The forecasted VMT reductions for 2007 were 7,000,000 VMT per year for passenger vehicles and 1,770,000 VMT per year for buses. Average speeds in the corridor by vehicle type were used consistent with the MIS/EA. The resulting emission reductions were estimated to be 0.0832 tons per day of NOx and 0.0275 tons per day of VOC.



Rail Preference Effect: Rail preference refers to the observed finding that in cities having rail transit systems, people who have a choice between automobile and transit are more likely to use transit if the mode is rail than if the transit mode is a bus (MIS/EA, page 4-12). The MIS/EA did not account for a rail preference factor in the travel demand modeling for the primary forecast.

Table 4.8 in the MIS/EA gives the number of light rail boardings as 33,132 for the primary forecast and an additional 3,127 for rail preference. The number of boardings due to rail preference is about 9.4% (i.e., 3,127/33,132) of the primary forecast. VMT reduction was assumed to occur at the same rate for the rail preference effect as for the primary forecast. Therefore, the additional emission reduction due to rail preference was calculated as the same fraction (9.4%) of the previously estimated emission reductions for the primary forecast. The resulting estimated emission reductions are 0.0079 tons per day of NOx, and 0.0026 tons per day of VOC.

Induced Development: Induced development refers to the potential for new development attracted by the presence of light rail stations. This development would have occurred somewhere in the Houston region in any case, but the presence of light rail was forecasted to attract a larger share of regional growth to the vicinity of the rail stations. The MIS/EA did not account for either rail preference effects or induced development in the travel demand modeling for the primary forecast.

Induced development is expected to lead to emission reductions because the travel characteristics of the population (residents) and employment (jobs) change when they locate in the light rail corridor instead of locating generally in the 8-county region. Trips by the corridor population and employees would be expected to have shorter distances, lower speeds, lower auto mode shares, and higher auto occupancy rates, relative to trips in the region generally. The emissions from these trips would reflect these differences.

PBQD provided travel data for the households and employment in the light rail corridor. Travel characteristics for the region were taken from data sources previously provided by METRO. The VMT reduction due to changes in travel characteristics with induced development was calculated taking into account the average trip distances, speeds, mode shares, and auto occupancy rates for travel in the corridor versus travel in the region. The estimated VMT reduction in 2007 due to induced development is 363,976 VMT per day. Using passenger vehicle emission factors for the average speeds in the corridor and the region, the estimated emission reductions due to induced development are 0.5164 tons per day of NOx, and 0.1942 tons per day of VOC.

Summary of Light Rail Emissions Reductions: The total estimated NOx and VOC reductions with light rail in 2007, based on the analysis above and assuming that all components are additive and do not interact, are given in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of Estimated Emissions Reductions with Light Rail in 2007

Light Rail Impact Component	Emissions Reduction (tons/day)		
	NOx	voc	
Primary Forecast	0.0832	0.0276	
Rail Preference Effect	0.0079	0.0026	
Induced Development	0.5164	0.1942	
Total	0.6075	0.2244	

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATU:		OTAL VOC C REDUCED R (lbs/day)		TOTAL COST
4069	1996-0757	MON		LAKE ROBBINS	GROGANS MILL	CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN	BRAZOS TRANSIT	s	9/7/00	0.1029	0.1686	\$3,500,000
9978	XX 1999-000700	MON	WOODI ANDS VA	WOODLANDS	RD GORGAN'S MILL	SYSTEM CONNECTIONS & PURCHASE 6 SMALL ALT	BRAZOS TRANSIT	Т	8/31/02	20	31	\$1,800,000
5097	T96051-98	MON	UNK	TOWN CENTER		PROVIDE OPERATION OF 6	BRAZOS TRANSIT	LET	5/1/99	20	31	\$600,000
5098	T96052-XX	MON	UNK			ADDT'L BUSES TO SERVE PECSABOLI ENDEST D&D PROVIDE OPERATION OF 6 ADDT'L BUSES TO SERVE PESSABOLI ENDEST D&D	BRAZOS TRANSIT	S	9/30/07	20	31	\$600,000
2927	HOU.BO.332	BRA	ALVIN MUSTANG TRI	N ALVIN CITY I IMIT	S ALVIN CITY I IMIT	CONST ALVIN MUSTANG TRL SYS OF BRA CO	CITY OF ALVIN	т	9/30/07	0.1715	0.281	\$998,400
5053	1996-072200) HAR	GOOSE CREEK	W TEXAS AVE	GARTH RD	CONST HIKE/BIKE TRAIL ALONG GOOSE CREEK (PHASE 4)	CITY OF BAYTOWN	Т	8/1/04	0.1921	0.3148	\$1,361,919
3069 9954	HO.HR.0154B HO.HR.0154C		GOOSE CREEK VA	ARIZONA ST GOOSE CREEK TRAIL FROM S OF W TEYAS AVE	DECKER DRIVE W TEXAS AVE	CONST HIKE & BIKE TRAIL CONSTRUCT HIKE/BIKE TRAIL SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING TO ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	CITY OF BAYTOWN CITY OF BAYTOWN	T T	5/1/02 5/1/02	0.1329 0.1329	0.2178 0.2178	\$1,218,614 \$806,250
5052	1999-001200) MON	FM 2854	IH 45 @ FM 2854	W LOOP 336 @ IH 45	CONST BIKE LNS & ON-STREET BIKE LNS // ADD STRIPPING FOR ON STREET BIKE I ANE	CITY OF CONROE	Т	8/1/04	0.4802	0.7869	\$366,231
3063	1995-0044-A-	HAR	W HOUSTON ON-			BIKE W HOUSTON ON-ST	CITY OF HOUSTON	LET	11/1/00	3.5202	5.7691	\$1,220,000
6085	nn 1995-0044-B-	HAR	ST RIKFWAY - PH VA	W HOUSTON ON-	PHASE 2	BIKEWAY - PH 1 (TCM SIP BIKE W HOUSTON ON-ST	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	3/1/02	0.2089	0.3424	\$601,000
3064	00 1995-004500) HAR	BUFFALO BAYOU TRAIL	ST BIKEWAY SHEPHERD DR	SABINE	BIKEWAY - PH 2 (TCM SIP BIKE TRL ON BUFFALO BAYOU PARALLEL TO MEMORIAL DR &	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	3/1/04	0.6416	1.0515	\$2,820,050
5022	1995-004700	HAR	BRAYS BAYOU	DAIRY ASHFORD	BEECHNUT	CONST BIKE/HIKE TRAIL	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	9/30/07	0.4973	0.815	\$2,696,000
3065	1995-004998	3 HAR	HERMAN BROWN PARK TRL - PH 1			BIKE & HIKE TRL THROUGH HERMAN BROWN PARK (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	CITY OF HOUSTON	LET	9/1/00	0.0913	0.1496	\$611,000
9841	1995-0049-A-	HAR	HERMAN BROWN		EAST TO FM 526	BIKE & HIKE TRL THROUGH	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	6/1/02	0.0913	0.1496	\$932,000
3066	nn 1995-005000) HAR	PARK TRI - PH 2 HOU HRTGE COR PROJ: PH IA - BAYOU BKWAYS		& SOLITH TO IH LOCKWOOD DR ON E	HERMAN BROWN PRK (TCM SIP BIKE BAYOU BIKEWAY - PHASE IA (PORT TO PORT: HOUSTON HERITAGE PROJECT) (TCM SIP	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	3/1/04	0.1594	0.2612	\$6,830,212
2986 5050	1996-0447 1996-0719	HAR HAR	WESTHEIMER WHITE OAK/KATY	@ WILCREST 14TH ST	MAIN ST	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS BIKE TRAIL/LANE ON RDS & RR	CITY OF HOUSTON CITY OF HOUSTON	LET L	9/30/07 9/30/07	4.02 0.5316	1.25 0.8712	\$150,000 \$2,136,000
9351	XX 1996-078600	HAR	RAII KEEGANS BAYOU		CITY LIMITS	ROW CONST HIKE & BIKE	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	9/30/07	0.7215	1.1824	\$4,375,000
9358	1996-0793	HAR	TRI OLD GALVESTON	TRI -GESSNER ALLENDALE	NEAR SYNOT BAY AREA BLVD	CONSTRUCT HIKE/BIKE TRAIL	CITY OF HOUSTON	TLOC	9/30/07	1.2907	2.1153	\$237,500
3072	HO.HR.0187	HAR	RD RIKFWAY EAST BRAYS BAYOU TRAIL - WEST	DIXIE BRIDGE NEAR HERMAN PARK	MASON PARK AT TIPPS ST	UPGRADE EXISTING HIKE AND BIKE; IMPROVE CONNECTIONS TO PROPOSED ON-STREET	CITY OF HOUSTON	T	9/1/03	0.4474	0.7333	\$4,889,000
9870	HO.HR.0188A	HAR	WEST BRAYS BAYOU TRL-EAST	1500' W OF I 610(WEST LOOP)	MIDWAY IN HERMANN PARK	CONST BIKE TRAIL (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	9/1/02	0.6075	0.9955	\$3,773,152

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	СО	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATU	T EST. SCOMPLET	FOTAL VOC (REDUCED F (lbs/day)		TOTAL COST
9902	HO.HR.0188B	HAR	WEST BRAYS BAYOU TRL-	550' N OF BISSONNET	1500' W OF IH 610 (WEST	CONST WEST BRAYS BAYOU BIKE TRAIL (TCM SIP	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	10/1/02	0.5255	0.8612	\$1,925,050
9903	HO.HR.0188C	HAR	WEST SEG A WEST BRAYS BAYOU TRL-	BEECHNUT	550' N OF BISSONNET	COMMITMENT\ CONST WEST BRAYS BAYOU BIKE TRAIL (TCM SIP	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	12/1/02	0.2714	0.4448	\$459,775
2931	HOU.HR.305-	- HAR	WEST SEC R SIMS BAYOU	ROSENHAVEN ST		COMMITMENT\ CONSTRUCT SIMS BAYOU TRAIL	CITY OF HOUSTON	TLOC	9/30/07	0.2786	0.4566	\$1,296,000
2930	00 HOU.HR.306-	HAR	TRAII COLUMBIA TAP RAIL TO TRAIL	DIXIE	PARK TO IH 45 POLK ST	CONST COLUMBIA TAP RAIL TO TRAIL BIKE PATH	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	9/30/07	0.0475	0.0779	\$2,293,358
2929	HOU.HR.307	HAR	W WHITE OAK BAYOU TRL EXT	S OF PINEMONT ALONG WHITE	TO W LITTLE YORK, DEPRIEST	CONST EXTENSION OF BIKE TRL	CITY OF HOUSTON	Т	9/30/07	0.126	0.2065	\$2,252,904
	HRBR01 HO.HR.0181A	HAR	HALLS BAYOU	NORTH SEGMENT		PAVE CBD ACCESS ON-STREET	CITY OF HOUSTON	S LET	9/30/07 6/1/01	1.449 1.3705	2.3748 2.246	\$3,880,000 \$2,076,965
	HO.HR.0181B		STREET	SOUTH SEGMENT		BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP CBD ACCESS ON-STREET	HOUSTON/TXDOT	LET	12/1/00	2.3415		\$1,282,474
9989	HO.HR.0181C	HAR	STREET CBD ACCESS ON-	- PH 1 SOUTH SEGMENT		BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP CBD ACCESS ON-STREET	HOUSTON/TXDOT CITY OF	т	3/1/02	0.2208	0.3618	\$156,600
3071	HO.HR.0182A	HAR	STREET TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ON-ST BIKE	- PH 2		BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP MED CTR/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON- STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK-	HOUSTON/TXDOT CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDOT	LET	9/1/01	2.9581	4.8479	\$1,277,400
7003	HO.HR.0182B	HAR	TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ON-ST BIKE			TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON- STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK -	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDOT	LET	12/1/00	0.7243	1.1871	\$440,000
7004	HO.HR.0182C	HAR	TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ON-ST BIKE			TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON- STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK -	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDOT	LET	10/1/01	4.1216	6.7547	\$1,734,700
7005	HO.HR.0182D	HAR	TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ON-ST BIKE			TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON- STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK-PH	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDOT	T	3/1/02	1.2676	2.0774	\$1,661,900
3074	HO.HR.0189	HAR	W WHITE OAK BAYOU TRAIL	11TH ST	S OF PINEMONT	CONSTRUCT W WHITE OAK BAYOU TRAIL (TCM SIP	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDOT	LET	9/1/00	0.2556	0.4188	\$2,602,000
3075	HO.HR.0192A	HAR	HARRISBURG/SU NSET RAILS TO	CHENEVERT	75TH STREET AND BUFFALO	HARRISBURG/SUNSET RAILS TO		LET	6/1/00	0.2612	0.428	\$2,075,000
7006	HO.HR.0192B	HAR	HARRISBURG/SU NSET RAILS TO		AND BUFFALO	HARRISBURG/SUNSET RAILS TO TRAILS-PH 2 (TCM SIP		T	3/1/02	0.1019	0.167	\$952,000
2221	1996-0132	GAL	BRITTANY BAY	IH 45	BAY AREA BI VD	CONST HIKE & BIKE	CITY OF LEAGUE	s	9/30/07	0.2049	0.3358	\$324,000
2222	1996-0133 1996-0134	GAL GAL	BRITTANY BAY BAY AREA BLVD	BAY AREA BLVD BRITTANY BAY	FM 528	CONST HIKE & BIKE CONST HIKE & BIKE	CITY OF LEAGUE	S S	9/30/07 9/30/07	0.4056 0.1218	0.6647 0.1995	\$600,000 \$192,000
5056	XX 1996-072500		SH 96	IH 45	CRK FM 1266	CONST HIKE/BIKE TRAIL ALONG	CITY CITY OF LEAGUE	Т	9/30/07	0.4073	0.6675	\$757,500
5057	1996-0726	GAL	FM 518	PERKINS	ILLINOIS	CONST PED SIDEWALK ALONG	CITY OF LEAGUE	S	9/30/07	0.0514	0.0843	\$425,000
2918	XX T96007-XX	GAL	SH 96	@ IH 45		FM 518 CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE (472 SPACES)	CITY CITY OF LEAGUE CITY	S	9/30/07	9	15	\$300,000
2260	1006 0100	EOD	EM 1003			DESTRIBBING BIVE IN 9	CITY OF MISSOURI	c	0/20/07	0.2425	0.2004	£207 822
	1996-0190 XX	FOR	FM 1092			RESTRIPPING BIKE LN & SIDEWALK	CITY OF MISSOURI	S	9/30/07	0.2435	0.3991	\$207,833
	1996-0191 XX		FM 2234			RESTRIPPING BIKE LN & SIDEWALK	CITY OF MISSOURI	S	9/30/07	0.2915	0.4778	\$248,814
2273	1996-0194 XX		TR #1 - #11			CONST HIKE & BIKE TRAIL	CITY OF MISSOURI	S	9/30/07	0.0969	0.1588	\$2,376,000
2281	1996-0202 XX		TR #12 - #21	OPT / 1141 : / * " " "		CONST HIKE & BIKE TRAIL	CITY OF MISSOURI	S	9/30/07	0.0406		\$2,397,600
5054	1996-0723 XX	FOR	SEG 1: HIKE/BIKE TRAIL	CITY HALL/CIVIC CNTR	COMMUNITY PARK	CONST HIKE/BIKE TRAIL	CITY OF MISSOURI CITY	S	9/30/07	0.367	0.6014	\$2,795,600

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	СО	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATU	T EST. SCOMPLET	OTAL VOC (REDUCED I (lbs/day)		TOTAL COST
5055	1996-0724	FOR	SEG 3: HIKE/BIKE	FONDREN PARK		CONST HIKE/BIKE TRAIL	CITY OF MISSOURI	S	9/30/07	0.4313	0.7068	\$3,004,400
2928	XX HOU.FB.303- 00	FOR	TRAIL SEG 2: MISSOURI CITY BIKE TRL	COURT RD	CNTR CARTWRIGHT RD	CONST HIKE & BIKE TRL	CITY CITY OF MISSOURI CITY	Т	9/30/07	0.5813	0.9527	\$173,956
	1996-045500 1996-0720		MAGNOLIA DR FM 518	@ BNSF RR WESTMINISTER	RIVERSIDE DR	CONSTRUCT GSEP @ RR TRACK CONST SIDEWALK/BIKE PATH	CITY OF PEARLAND CITY OF PEARLAND	T S	9/30/07 9/30/07	0.5 0.2829	0.14 0.4637	\$1,500,000 \$321,727
5058	1996-072700	FOR	SH 36	US 59	US 90A	CONST PED SIDEWALK ALONG	CITY OF	Т	9/30/07	0.1458	0.2389	\$150,000
1117	1996-010000	FOR	WILLIAMS TRACE BI VD	US 59	SH 6	SIGNALIZATION, SYNCHRONIZATION &	CITY OF SUGAR	Т	9/30/07	0.0048	0.0000001	\$265,000
1118	1996-010100	FOR	WILLIAMS TRACE	SH 6	AUSTIN PKWY	SIGNALIZATION,	CITY OF SUGAR	Т	9/30/07	0.006	0.0000002	\$215,000
1119	1996-0102	FOR	RI VD SH 6	US 59	BROOKS ST	SYNCHRONIZATION & SYNCHRNZTN & INTRCNNTN	I AND CITY OF SUGAR	TLOC	9/30/07	0.00382	0.0000001	\$50,000
1120		FOR	SH 6			SYNCHRNZTN & INTRCNNTN	CITY OF SUGAR	TLOC	9/30/07	0.00322	0.0000001	\$150,000
1121	1996-010400	FOR	LEXINGTON BLVD	DULLES AVE	SH 6	INSTALL ATMS: SIGNLZTN, SYNCHRNZTN & INTRCNNTN	CITY OF SUGAR	Т	9/30/07	0.00284	0.0000001	\$360,000
1122 1123	1996-010500 1996-010600		AUSTIN PKWY SWEETWATER	LEXINGTON BLVD	SH 6 AUSTIN PKWY	INSTALL ATMS INSTALL ATMS: SIGNLZTN,	CITY OF SUGAR CITY OF SUGAR	T T	9/30/07 9/30/07	0.25 0.00277	0.05 0.0000002	\$780,000 \$410,000
1124 5060	1996-0107 1996-0729	FOR FOR	BLVD DULLES AVE WILLIAMS TRACE		US 90A	SYNCHRNZTN. & INTRCNNTN SYNCHRNZTN & INTRCNNTN CONST BIKE/PED BRIDGE OVER		TLOC L	9/30/07 9/30/07	0.00526 0.0043	0.0000001 0.007	\$285,000 \$234,000
5061	XX 1996-0730 XX	FOR	RI VD SWEETWATER BLVD	CREEK @ DITCH "A"		OYSTER CREEK CONST BIKE/PED BRIDGE OVER "DITCH A"	I AND CITY OF SUGAR LAND	L	9/30/07	0.0034	0.0056	\$207,600
3039	T96013A-00	FOR	SH 36 - FAIRGROUNDS	@ US 59		PH 1-CONDUCT PE FOR FAIRGROUNDS PARK & RIDE	FORT BEND COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	5	8	\$62,500
9756	T96013B-00	FOR	SH 36 - FAIRGROUNDS	@ US 59		PH 2-CONSTRUCT FAIRGROUNDS PARK & RIDE	FORT BEND COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	5	8	\$430,860
9390	T96003B-00	GAL	IH 45 S	@ FM 1764		PH 2 - FINAL DESIGN & CONST	GULF COAST	Т	8/1/01	10	16	\$2,483,000
9401	T96004B-00	BRA		VARIOUS		OF GAL CO PARK & RIDE PH 2-CONST BRA CO OP/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	CENTER GULF COAST CENTER	Т	8/1/01	5	8	\$2,200,000
						WITH PARK & RIDE *						
4090	T96047-00	BRA	VA	VARIOUS LIMITS		PH 2-PARK & RIDE SERVICES (FY 99) *FUNDING CONTINGUENT	GULF COAST CENTER	Т	8/1/05	10	16	\$400,000
4091	T96048-XX	BRA	UNK			PH 2-PARK & RIDE SERVICES (FY 00) *FUNDING CONTINGUENT	GULF COAST CENTER	S	8/1/07	5	8	\$420,000
								_				
2934	HOU.HR.317	HAR	NORTH CHANNEL HIKE & BIKE TRI		WALLISVILLE RD (NORTH)	CONST HIKE & BIKE TRL (HARRIS CO. PCT2)	HARRIS COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	0.0643	0.1054	\$787,415
6070	1999-026300	HAR	FAIRMONT PKWY			CONSTRUCT GSEP	HARRIS COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	0.77	0.24	\$5,000,000

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATU	EST. SCOMPLET	FOTAL VOC (REDUCED I (lbs/day)		TOTAL COST
2207	1996-010998	B HAR	UNK			REGIONAL COMMUTE	H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	70.57	171.91	\$1,708,750
3048	1996-0520-A-	VA	VA			AI TERNATIVES PROG - FY 99 REGIONAL VANPOOL PROGRAM	-H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	70.57	171.91	\$1,387,500
9417	98 1996-0520-B-	VA	VA			FY 99 REGIONAL VANPOOL PROGRAM	-H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	62.83	163.52	\$1,387,500
6001	00 1996-0520-C-	VA	VA			FY 00 REGIONAL VANPOOL PROGRAM	H-GAC	S	9/30/07	62.83	163.52	\$9,710,680
3049	XX 1996-0521-A- 98	VA	VA			FY 01 - 07 TELECOMMUTING 3 YR PILOT PROGRAM (FY 98-00)	H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	466	658.5	\$358,845
6000	1996-0521-D- xx	VA	VA			TELECOMMUTING PROGRAM FY	H-GAC	S	9/30/07	466	658.5	\$837,305
9927	1999-013100) HAR	VA	VARIOUS		FY 2000 REGIONAL COMMUTE ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	62.83	163.52	\$3,439,040
9945	1999-0133-A- 00	HAR	VA	VARIOUS		FY 2001 REGIONAL COMMUTE ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM	H-GAC	Т	9/30/07	62.83	163.52	\$5,049,090
9975	1999-013600) HAR	VA	VARIOUS		FY 2002 REGIONAL COMMUTE ALTERNATIVES PGM	H-GAC	Т	9/30/07	62.83	163.52	\$4,177,187
1532	1994-0080-C-	HAR	VA	8-COUNTY NON-		REGIONAL VANPOOL PROGRAM		LET	9/30/07	70.57	171.91	\$980,000
9790	98 1995-0207-D- 98	HAR	VA	ATTAINMENT VARIOUS LIMITS		TO SLIPPORT FTR (OLLICK START FY 98 REGIONAL COMMUTE ALTERNATIVES	H-GAC	LET	9/30/07	70.57	171.91	\$1,250,000
						REGIONAL RCTSS PROGRAM (INCLUDES PROJS PROGRAMMED IN 2000 TIP AND THOSE IN SR TO BE	TXDOT/METRO/CITY OF HOUSTON/HARRIS CO	T/S	9/30/07	6329.35	1494.6	\$150,436,900
3092	1996-085500) MON	WOODLANDS	IH 45	COCHRANS	SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION	MONTGOMERY	Т	6/30/01	0.00393	0.0000001	\$215,400
3090	1996-085600	MON		IH 45	CROSSING KUYKENDAHL	SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION	MONTGOMERY	Т	6/30/01	0.00148	0	\$167,000
3091	1996-0858	MON	FOREST SAWDUST/GROG	IH 45	WOODLANDS	ATMS: SIGNAL	MONTGOMERY	S	7/31/05	0.01027	0.0000003	\$215,400
3089	XX 1996-0857 XX	MON	AN'S MILL LAKE WOODLANDS	IH 45	PKWY GOSLING	SYNCHRONIZATION ATMS: SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION	COUNTY MONTGOMERY COUNTY	S	8/1/04	0.00615	0.0000002	\$118,600
9534	1995-0220-B- 00	HAR	DEER PARK JUNCTION	AT STRANG YARD		CONSTRUCT PORT OF HOUSTON LEAD TRACK -	PORT OF HOUSTON AUTHORITY	LET	8/31/01	6.682	31.38	\$14,882,830
2445	1996-0432-A- 00	HAR	BARBOURS CUT QUEUE LNS			CONSTRUCT QUE LANES ALONG GEORGE ALTVATER RD /TRAFFC		Т	9/30/03	6.682	31.138	\$2,750,000
7104	1996-0432-B-	HAR	BARBOURS CUT			CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL RAIL	PORT OF HOUSTON	Т	9/30/03	6.682	31.138	\$850,000
2982	00 1996-0433-A-	HAR	TERMINAL TRANSFER STA			TO BARBOURS CUT TERMINAL CONSTRUCT 8900 FT OF	AUTHORITY PORT OF HOUSTON	Т	8/31/03	6.682	31.138	\$4,625,000
9371	00 1996-0433-C-	HAR	@ INDSTRL TRANSFER STA			RAILROAD TRACK (PH 1) EXTEND RAILROAD TRACK FOR	AUTHORITY PORT OF HOUSTON	S	9/30/03	6.682	31.138	\$3,362,500
3095	XX 1996-069900) HAR	@ INDSTRL DEER PRK/PASADENA	DEER PARK JUNCTION	PASADENA JUNCTION	SHIPSIDE SERVICE (PH 3) CONSTRUCT ADDT'L TRACK TO PASADENA JUNCTION	AUTHORITY PORT OF HOUSTON AUTHORITY	Т	9/30/03	6.682	31.138	\$5,750,000
1007	1993-0523-B-	HAR	IH 10 W	STUDEMONT	SAN JACINTO	INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	32.6	8.5	\$1,255,000

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	СО	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATU:	T EST. SCOMPLET	OTAL VOC (REDUCED I (lbs/day)		TOTAL COST
1071	1994-0244-B- 98	FOR	US 59 SW	W OF S KIRKWOOD	RAMPS AT SP 41/SUGAR CRK	UPGRADE FIXED TIME TO ACTUATED SIGNALS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/03	0.00338	0.0000001	\$40,000
1072	1994-0244-C-	FOR	US 59 SW	RAMPS AT SPUR	SH 6	UPGRADE FIXED TIME TO	TXDOT	LET	8/31/03	0.005	0.0000001	\$150,000
9846	1994-0254-A-	HAR	FM 529	HUFFMEISTER RD		INSTALL ATMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	0.85	0.2	\$393,513
1027	1994-026400	HAR	US 59 SW	W BELLFORT	CYPRESS RD FOR C/L	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	6.6	1.72	\$318,000
1008	1994-0266-A-	HAR	IH 10	MEADOW ST	SP 330	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	TXDOT	Т	8/31/02	95.17	24.81	\$6,177,062
9527	1994-0266-A-	HAR	IH 10 E	SAN JACINTO ST	MEADOW ST	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	17.97	4.68	\$600,000
1026	1994-026700	HAR	SP 330	IH 10	LP 201 (SH 146)	INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	69.25	18.05	\$3,483,000
1020	1994-027100		SH 35	14TH ST	SH 36	INSTALL ATMS (TCM SIP	TXDOT	Ť	9/30/07	0.34	0.07	\$178,000
1062	1994-0378 XX	BRA	SH 35	@ MUSTANG RD 8 @ FM 1459	i	ADD LTLS	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	3.33	0.91	\$140,000
206	1994-037900	HAR	US 290	@ MASON RD		CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	LET	9/30/07	1.09	0.34	\$8,500,000
1023	1994-038600		SH 6	FM 2004	FM 519	INSTALL ATMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	0.52	0.11	\$183,000
1011	1994-069200		IH 45 S	W OF 59TH ST	61ST ST	INSTALL ATMS	TXDOT	T	0/01/01	0.58	0.14	\$65,000
1011	1995-016300		IH 45 S	61ST ST	S OF SH 6	CTMS (TV CIRCUIT & VEH	TXDOT	LET	8/31/02	19.88	5.09	\$3,856,000
1012	1995-016498			0.28 MI N OF	IH 610	DETECTION SYS) INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/04	87.23	22.74	\$1,082,654
1020	1000 0104 00		00 00 112	SALINDERS	111010	INOTALE OTMO	INDOI		0/01/04	07.20	22.74	Ψ1,002,004
9533	1995-0164-A- 00	HAR	US 59 SW	IH 610	0.019 MI N OF BELL ST	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	144.75	37.73	\$2,780,000
1013	1995-016500	MON	IH 45 N	N OF CRIGHTON	LP 336 N	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	17.22	4.41	\$2,610,000
1029	1995-016900	HAR	US 59 NE	FM 1960	MON C/L	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	21.66	5.65	\$1,783,000
1014	1995-017000	HAR	IH 45 N	RANKIN RD	CYPRESSWOOD	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	8/31/01	95.67	24.94	\$3,596,000
1825	1995-0170-A-	HAR	IH 45 N	CYPRESSWOOD	MON C/L	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	33.76	8.8	\$2,409,000
1030	1995-017100	HAR	US 59 SW	BELL ST	S SHEPHERD	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	50.23	13.09	\$2,162,000
1015	1995-017500	MON	IH 45 N	HAR C/L	TAMINA RD	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	77.79	19.9	\$2,889,000
9536	1995-0175-A- 00	MON	IH 45 N	TAMINA RD	0.966 KM N OF CRIGHTON RD	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	30.3	7.75	\$3,956,000
1031	1995-017600	FOR	US 59 SW	0.4235 MI W OF S	SH 6	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	29.74	7.61	\$2,800,000
1032	1995-017898	HAR	US 59 NE	GREENS BAYOU	0.28 MI N OF SAUNDERS	INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	54.18	14.12	\$3,027,731
	1995-0178-A- 98		US 59 NE	0.136 MI S OF BF 1960A	GREENS BAYOU		TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	43.63	11.37	\$2,172,654
1019	1995-017900		SH 249	WESTLOCK	BW 8	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	45.46	11.85	\$4,540,000
1016	1995-018000	GAL	IH 45 S	S OF SH 6	HAR C/L	INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/02	31.6	8.08	\$10,345,000
1144	1996-025500	HAR	BU 90-U	IH 610 E	BW 8 E	ADD CLT LN W/ C&G	TXDOT	T	9/30/07	0.24	0.08	\$2,500,000
470	1996-0256	HAR	IH 45 S	@ EL DORADO		CONSTRUCT EBOUND BRIDGE	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	2.05	0.64	\$2,000,000
2971	1996-0258 xx	HAR	BW 8 E	PASADENA BLVD	RED BLUFF RD	IMPROVE INTERSECTION PROFILE - TURNING RADIUSES &	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	0.87	0.24	\$1,000,000
2996	1996-0457	GAL	FM 1764	@ AMBURN			TXDOT	S	9/30/07	1.3	0.36	\$121,719
2999	1996-046098		FM 2234	@ FM 521		CONSTRUCT ADDT'L TRN LANES		LET	8/31/00	4.12	1.13	\$1,200,000
3000	1996-046198			@ US 90A		@ INTERSECTION CONSTRUCT ADDT'L TURN	TXDOT	LET	8/31/99	4.12	1.13	\$352,748
3006	1996-046798			@ FM 1097		I ANES @ INTERSECTION IMPROVE INTERSECTION &	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	2.79	0.76	\$2,000,000
	1996-046898			@ N SHEPHERD,		WIDEN BRIDGE CONSTRUCT U-TURNS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	1.94	0.61	\$680,000
3007			. = . =	N DURHAM AND					2.2.700		0.01	ψοσο,σσσ
3009	1996-047098	GAL	SH 146	@ EDGEWATER &		CONSTRUCT RIGHT TURN I ANES	TXDOT	LET	8/31/99	0.81	0.22	\$243,671
3010	1996-047100	HAR	SH 225	SH 134	STRANG RD	INSTALL CTMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/02	3.88	1.01	\$2,270,000

								Т	OTAL VOC	OTAL NOX	
PROJ	PROJ		FROM	TO	PROJECT	LEAD	PROJ	EST.	REDUCED F	REDUCED	TOTAL
ID	NUM CO	STREET	LOCATION	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	STATU	SCOMPLET	(lbs/day)	(lbs/day)	COST
3012	1996-0473 BRA	SH 288	@ CR 44		CONSTRUCT GSEP OF M/L OVER	TXDOT	L	9/30/07	0.7	0.19	\$6,200,000
	XX				CR 44						
		SH 288	@ FM 2004		CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	0.83	0.23	\$3,884,000
			@ SP 10		CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	0.73	0.2	+ ., ,
2993	1996-048500 HAR	BW 8	AT WESTHEIMER		ADD RIGHT TRN LNS AT	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	1.46	0.46	\$500,000
		E11.1=0.1	RD & RICHMOND		INTERSECTIONS NBOUND			0/04/00	0.50		
2995	1996-048798 GAL		@ SH 146	T. (1 ED. O.T.	CONSTRUCT RIGHT TURN LN	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	0.56	0.15	\$78,951
1103	1996-065000 HAR	SH 146	MCCABE RD	TYLER ST	INSTALL CTMS - INCL	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	7.42	1.93	\$1,204,650
					SURVELLANCE SYS,						
					COMMUNICATN SYS, SATELLITE						
1034	1996-069700 WAL	US 90	FM 359 W	FM 359 E	INSTALL ATMS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	0.53	0.11	\$73.000
5071	1996-0740 HAR	IH 10 W	@ FRY RD		REPLACE EXISTING 4 LN	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	0.83	0.26	\$2,860,000
	XX		_		STRUCTURE TO ALLOW FOR 6						+ =,,
5073	1996-0741 HAR	IH 10 W	@ MASON RD		REPLACE EXISTING 4 LN	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	2.37	0.74	\$3,300,000
	XX				STRUCTURE TO ALLOW FOR 6						
		IH 10 W	@ W GREENS RD		CONSTRUCT NEW GSEP OVER	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	1.28	0.4	\$2,200,000
5077		US 59 SW	@ SP 41,		IMPROVEMENTS TO FRTG RD	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	14	3.82	\$930,000
5000	XX 1996-0750 BRA	SH 288	WILLIAMS TRACE @ CR 220B		INTERSECTIONS CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT		9/30/07	4.40	0.24	# 0.000.000
				0.40 KM E OF	CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	L S		1.13		T-11
5083		FM 1960	0.40 KM W OF	JONES RD	CONSTRUCT GSEP	IXDOI	5	9/30/07	3.11	0.97	\$6,975,000
7033	XX 1999-001300 HAR	SH 99	JONES RD AT BAYHILL	JONES KD	CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	т	9/30/07	0.65	0.2	\$4,000,000
7055	1333-001300 HAIX	01133	HIGHI AND		CONCINCOT COLI	IXDOI	'	3/30/01	0.03	0.2	\$4,000,000
7034	1999-001400 HAR	SH 99	AT KINGSLAND		CONSTRUCT GSEP	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	0.81	0.25	\$4,000,000
9909	1999-008200 FOR	FM 723	AT FM 359 & FM		CONSTRUCT LEFT TURN LNS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	1.3	0.36	\$490,000
9910	1999-012500 FOR	FM 2218	S OF FM 1640 (AT		CONSTRUCT LEFT TURN LNS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	3.83	1.05	\$145,000
			SEARS								,
			HABD/WARE KEV								
	1999-027500 HAR		AT ROBERTS		CONSTRUCT INTERIM GSEP	TXDOT	Т	8/31/03	1.13		\$5,000,000
	1999-027600 HAR		AT BECKER		CONSTRUCT INTERIM GSEP	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	0.6	0.19	+-,,
7001	1999-027700 HAR		AT BAUER		CONSTRUCT INTERIM GSEP	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	1.12	0.35	\$5,000,000
5078	T96056-XX FOR	US 59 SW	NEAR		CONSTRUCT PARK & RIDE	TXDOT	S	9/30/07	5	8	\$4,500,000
			SWFFTWATER		FACILITY						
1151	1996-0425 LIB	LP 573	BOULEVARD ST	BOOTHE ST	CONSTRUCT CONTINUOUS LEFT	TYPOT-BEALIMONT	s	9/30/07	0.27	0.07	\$500,000
1131	XX	LI 3/3	POOLE VAILD 91	DOOTTIL ST	TURN LANE BY REMOVING	DIST	3	9/30/07	0.27	0.07	φυυυ,υυυ
1152	1996-0426 LIB	US 90	EAST ST	TENNESSEE ST	CONSTRUCT CONTINUOUS LEFT		s	9/30/07	1.5	0.47	\$375,000
	XX	00			TURN LANE	DIST	•	0,00,01	0	5.47	ψοι ο,σου
	///				TOTAL LAIML	DIO.					

Grade Separations

Calculation Methodology

Number of cars crossing the track per day (n) = (90% ADT between 6 am to 8 pm) / 840 min.

Time for gates opening & closing (T2) = 0.5 min.

AVG. waiting time for train (T1) = Train Length / (88ft/min. * train speed)

Number of vehicles stopped by train (N) = (T1+T2) * n

Time of queue dissipation = 2 sec/veh * N / 60 sec.

Existing delay per train (Dexist) = $\{(T1 + T2) * N/2 + T3)\} / 60$

Travel time savings = (Dexist) * AVG. number of train per day * (delay reduction factor)

Delay Reduction Factor = 60% G/Sep, I/C 40 %, and 30% Ramps

Annual VOC reduction travel time savings * idling emission factor * 313 * 0.002205

Intersection / Traffic Flow Improvements

Methodology

Existing Delay is based on the delay look up table (existing intersection type, major street ADT, and % minor ADT/major ADT)
Future Delay is based on the delay look up table (future intersection type, major street ADT, and % minor ADT/Major ADT)
Delay Reduction (DR) = (Existing delay - Future delay) * 1600/1800
Where 1600/1800 is the capacity increase = # passenger cars per hour per lane
Annual VOC reduction = DR * idling E.F. * 313 * 0.002205

** More than one intersection per project, DR = (sum existing delay - sum future delay) * 1600/1800

Bike Projects MTP 2022

METHODOLOGY

Mode Split = 0.50% (Percent who biked/walked to work from 1990 Census (journey-to-work))

Estimated Bik Mode split x Volume on a parallel arterial (from H-GAC models)/4 (average bike trip length per the facilities total mileage)

VMT Reducer (Estimated Bike/Ped Trips/1.17 Average Vehicle Occupancy) x Trip Length

where Trip Length = Estimated Average of Trip

Facility Length = From Project Form or Estimated From Map

Emission Reductions =

(VMT Reduced * Emission Factor) * (.002205)

.002205 = Grams to pounds conversion factor

Emission Factors for each year are from 24 hr runs of the Mobile model for Harris County (30mph)

representing a mix of light duty vehicles

TDM Emission Reductions: Regional Commute Alternatives/Vanpool, Telecommuting, Commuter & Transit Services Pilot Projects

1) The Vanpool Program (Regional Commute Alternatives Program)

Assumptions:

Average speed = 50 mile/hour

Vehicles Replaced by Vans are a mix of all Light Duty Vehicles proportional to the VMT mix of that area

Vans are in the category LDT2

Emission Factors are taken from Mobile5a h runs for a 24 hour time period adjusted for commute percentages to Harris County

Vanpools run twice/day 5 days/week, 52 weeks/year - 12 Holidays

Emissions from Vanpools themselves should be subtracted from Emission Reductions

METHODOLOGY:

Number of Vanpools and Average Trip Length from METRO Regional Rideshare Program

AVO from H-GAC travel demand model mode split data.

Annual VMT Reduced = No. vanpools * AVO * Avg. trip length * two trips/day * no. days/year

VOC, CO & NOx reductions = VMT Reduced * VOC, CO & NOx EF

Annual VMT Increased = No. vanpools * Avg. trip length * two trips/day * no. days/year

VOC, CO & NOx increase = VMT Increased * VOC, CO & NOx EF

Total Pollutant Reductions = Pollutant Reductions - Pollutant Increase

2) Telecommuting

METHODOLOGY:

Vehicle Trips = Daily (HBW) person trips / AVO

AVO = 1.17, average vehicle occupancy for region

Daily VMT = Vehicle trips * 16.7 (AVG trip length)

Participation rate: 4% of workforce (based on California experience and 8/96 TTI case study of trends in Texas)

Frequency of telecommute: 36% (based on California experience and on 8/96 TTI case study)

Daily VMT reduced = Participation Rate * Frequency * Daily VMT

- * Credit is taken for all anticipated telecommuting in the region, which is not accounted for in the travel demand models.
- * Credit taken in year 2000 represents the ongoing program since it's implementation in FY 1998 of the 1998 TIP.

3) Commuter & Transit Services Pilot Projects

METHODOLOGY:

Average Vehicle Occupancy (AVO) = 1.12

Total trips = number of passenger trips * 1.12

Average Vehicle Occupancy (AVO) = 1.12

VMT reduced = total trips * average trip length. Average trip length was provided by the service provider. However, for NHA 1/2 of the round trip is assumed.

Emission reduced = VMT reduced * emission factor (composite non-freeway) for all vehicles other than heavy-duty

Van emissions = vehicle total miles * emission factor used for vans
Total emissions reduced = (emissions reduced - vehicle emissions) * 0.002205 * 255 and 365 days for Bay Area
The Emission Factor used is for vans or smaller vehicles.

2% increase is assummed for ridership increase.

Park and Ride Emission Reductions

METHODOLOGY:

% Utilization = Fraction of total spaces occupied. Estimates provided by METRO.

VMT = daily vehicle trips x 12.73 ATL (in miles)
daily vehicle trips = (one-way person trips) x 2

ATL = Average Trip Length for work trips,
converted from 21.8 minutes to 12.73 miles
ATL (hours) x average systemwide sp = ATL (miles)
(21.8 minutes / 60 minutes) x 35.04 mph = 12.73 miles

VOC REDUCTION = VOC RATE x VMT

CONVERSION FACTORS: pounds per day = grams per day x 0.00205

^{*} Note: These park-and-ride lots were not implicitly included in the H-GAC travel demand process.

Arterial Traffic Management System (ATMS)

Methodology:

DRF = 10%
Annual emissions reduced = delay reduction * idling emission factor * 313 * 0.002205
Annualization factor = 0.244 (for five years life)
** Multiple locations

RCTSS

Methodology

Peak hour volume: (AM=8.5% of ADT + PM=9.25% of ADT)

Estimation of idling time reduction:

Free flow speed with no delay = 50 mph

Peak hour speed = 30 mph

Delay per vehicle = ((1/30) - (1/50)) = 0.0133

If the average speed is increased to 35 mph during the peak period

Delay per vehicle = ((1/35) - (1/50)) = 0.0086

Saving in delay for the project length = 0.0133 - 0.0086 = 0.0047 * project length

Assuming 60% of the delay is due to incidents and 67% of incidents is the idling time,

then reduction in idling time = saving in delay for the project length * 60% * 67% * peak hour volume

Emissions reduced due to idling = reduction in idling time * idling emission factor * 313 * 0.002205

Emission Reduction For Speed Improvement

Emissions for 1st hour of AM and PM peak period = (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 30 mph) - (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 35 mph) Emissions for 2nd hour of Am and PM peak period = (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 40 mph) - (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 45 mph) Annual emissions reduction for speed improvement = (emissions reductions in 1st hour + emissions reductions 2nd hour) * 313 * 0.002205 Total emissions reduction = idling emissions reductions + speed improvement emissions reduction

^{*} Analysis was done for 20 intersections to obtain an average; this average was used for all intersections

Signalization

METHODOLOGY:

Same as ATMS.

Port of Houston

Sources:

2000 VMT used is the same as the 1999 VMT (Dec, 1997 Conformity) for Trucks as well as Other Traffic and future years grown Emission Factors for VOC & Nox for HDDV & Other Traffic (H-GAC)

Emission Factors For VOC & Nox for Locomotives (USEPA, Air & Radiation Report, EPA420-F-97-051 dated December, 1997)

Methodology

2000 VMT used is the same as the 1999 VMT (Dec 1997 Conformity) for Trucks as well as Other Traffic and future years grown Emissions Reduced Due to Truck Traffic = VMT reduced * EF for HDDV at 48 mph speed Emissions Reduced due to improved speed = VMT reduced * EF difference for 38 to 40 mph speed Emissions due to train traffic = EF for Locomotives * Fuel used per train(gal/train) * 1.005(increase of .5%)

Net Emissions Reduction = Emissions Reduced by Truck Traffic + Emissions Reduced by Other Traffic - Emissions due to Train Traffic

^{*} Note: Please see Radian methodology on port projects benefits derivation.

BRAZOS TRANSIT

METHODOLOGY:

Daily VMT reduced = 35 passengers per trips * 4 runs (2 AM & 2 PM peak period) * 30 miles (average trip length) * 6 buses Daily emissions reduced = Daily VMT reduced * VOC emission factor @35 mph

Bus daily mileage = 30 miles (average trip length) * 8 runs (4 AM & 4 PM peak periods) * 6 buses

Daily bus emissions = bus daily mileage * VOC emission factor for HDDV @ 45mph (assume HOV speed)

Total annual emissions reduced = (daily emissions reduced - daily bus emissions) * 0.002205 * 260

CTMS Projects

METHODOLOGY:

Peak hour volume: (AM=8.5% of ADT + PM=9.25% of ADT)

Estimation of idling time reduction:

Free flow speed with no delay = 50 mph

Peak hour speed = 30 mph

Delay per vehicle = ((1/30) - (1/50)) = 0.0133

If the average speed is increased to 35 mph during the peak period

Delay per vehicle = ((1/35) - (1/50)) = 0.0086

Saving in delay for the project length = 0.0133 - 0.0086 = 0.0047 * project length

Assuming 60% of the delay is due to incidents and 67% of incidents is the idling time,

then reduction in idling time = saving in delay for the project length * 60% * 67% * peak hour volume

Emissions reduced due to idling = reduction in idling time * idling emission factor * 313 * 0.002205

Emission Reduction For Speed Improvement

Emissions for 1st hour of AM and PM peak period = (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 30 mph) - (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 35 mph) Emissions for 2nd hour of Am and PM peak period = (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 40 mph) - (peak period volume * project length * EF @ 45 mph)

Emission Factors represent the vehicle mix on urban freeways

Annual emissions reduction for speed improvement = (emissions reductions in 1st hour + emissions reductions 2nd hour) * 313 * 0.002205

Total emissions reduction = idling emissions reductions + speed improvement emissions reduction

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM C	0	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJ TYPE	LEAD AGENCY		ESTIMATE COMPLETE	COMM	OTAL VOC REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
5053	1996-0722 H		GOOSE CREEK TRAII	W TEXAS AVE	GARTH RD	CONST HIKE/BIKE TRAIL ALONG GOOSE CREEK (PHASE 4)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF BAYTOWN	Т	8/1/04	2007	0.19210	0.3148	\$1,361,919	
3069	HO.HR.0154B H	IAR	GOOSE			CONST HIKE & BIKE		CITY OF	∓ LET	5/1/02	2007	0.13290	0.2178	\$1,218,614	
9954	HO.HR.0154C H		CREEK TRL VA	ST GOOSE CREEK TRAIL FROM S OF	AVE	TRAIL (PHASE 2) CONSTRUCT HIKE/BIKE TRAIL SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING TO ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	ED	BAYTOWN CITY OF BAYTOWN	Ŧ-LET	5/1/02	2007	0.13290	0.2178	\$806,250	
9987	HO.HR.0181A H		CBD ACCESS ON- STREET	NORTH		CBD ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO	LET	6/1/01	2000	1.37050	2.246	\$2,076,965	
9988	HO.HR.0181B H	IAR		SOUTH - SEGMENT - PH 1		CBD ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	12/1/00	2000	2.34150	3.8373	\$1,282,474	
9989	HO.HR.0181C H		CBD ACCESS ON- STREET BIKEWAYS	SOUTH - SEGMENT - PH 2		CBD ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	Т	3/1/02	2007	0.22080	0.3618	\$156,600	
3071	HO.HR.0182A H		TMC/GREEN WAY PLAZA/GALL ERIA ON-ST			MED CTR/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK-EAST SEG PH		CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	9/1/01	2000	2.95810	4.8479	\$1,277,400	
7003	HO.HR.0182B H	IAR				TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK -NORTH SEGMENT PH 1 (TCM SIP		CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	12/1/00	2000	0.72430	1.1871	\$440,000	
7004	HO.HR.0182C H		TMC/GREEN WAY PLAZA/GALL ERIA ON-ST BIKE			TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK -WEST SEGMENT PH 1 (TCM SIP		CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	10/1/01	2007	4.12160	6.7547	\$1,734,700	
7005	HO.HR.0182D H		TMC/GREEN WAY PLAZA/GALL ERIA ON-ST BIKE			TMC/GREENWAY PLAZA/GALLERIA ACCESS ON-STREET BIKEWAY NETWORK-PH 2 (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)		CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	Т	3/1/02	2007	1.26760	2.0774	\$1,661,900	
3074	HO.HR.0189 H		W WHITE OAK BAYOU TRAIL	11TH ST	S OF PINEMON T	CONSTRUCT W WHITE OAK BAYOU TRAIL (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)		CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	9/1/00	2000	0.25560	0.4188	\$2,602,000	
3075	HO.HR.0192A H		HARRISBUR G/SUNSET RAILS TO TRAILS		75TH STREET AND BUFFALO	HARRISBURG/SUNSET RAILS TO TRAILS-PH 1 (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	LET	6/1/00	2000	0.26120	0.428	\$2,075,000	

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	СО	STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJ TYPE	LEAD AGENCY		ESTIMATE COMPLETE	COMN		TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST CO	OMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
7006	HO.HR.0192B	HAR	HARRISBUR G/SUNSET RAILS TO TRAILS			HARRISBURG/SUNSET RAILS TO TRAILS-PH 2 (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	BIKE/P ED	CITY OF HOUSTON/TXDO T	Т	3/1/02	2007	0.10190	0.167	\$952,000		
2934	HOU.HR.317	HAR	NORTH CHANNEL HIKE & BIKE TRL	EST BLVD		. CONST HIKE & BIKE TRL (HARRIS CO, PCT2)	BIKE/P ED	HARRIS COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	2007	0.06430	0.1054	\$787,415		
											-	14.15	23.18			
2445	1996-0432-A- 00	HAR	CUT QUEUE			CONSTRUCT QUE LANES ALONG GEORGE	INTMD L	HOUSTON	Т	9/30/03	2007	6.68200	31.138	\$2,750,000		
7104	1996-0432-B- 00	HAR	CUT			AI TVATER RD /TRAFFC CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL RAIL TO BARBOURS CUT		HOUSTON	Т	9/30/03	2007	6.68200	31.138	\$850,000		
2982	1996-0433-A- 00	HAR	TERMINAI TRANSFER STA @ INDSTRI			TERMINAL CONSTRUCT 8900 FT OF RAILROAD TRACK (PH 1)		PORT OF HOUSTON AUTHORITY	Т	8/31/03	2007	6.68200	31.138	\$4,625,000		
9534	1995-0220-B- 00	HAR	DEER PARK JUNCTION	AT STRANG YARD		CONSTRUCT PORT OF HOUSTON LEAD TRACK - SEGMENT 3 AT STRANG YARD		PORT OF HOUSTON AUTHORITY	LET	8/31/01	2007	6.68200	31.38	\$14,882,830		
											=	26.73	124.79			
1007	1993-0523-B-	HAR	IH 10 W	STUDEMO		INSTALL CTMS	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	32.60000	8.5	\$1,255,000		
1027	00 1994-0264 00	HAR	US 59 SW	NT W BELLFORT	JACINTO FOR C/L	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	6.60000	1.72	\$318,000		
1008	1994-0266-A- 00	HAR	IH 10	MEADOW ST	SP 330	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	ITS	TXDOT	Т	8/31/02	2007	95.17000	24.81	\$6,177,062		
9527 1026	1994-0266-A- 1994-0267			SAN IH 10			ITS ITS	TXDOT TXDOT	T T	9/30/07 9/30/07	2007 2007	17.97000 69.25000	4.68 18.05	\$600,000 \$3,483,000		
1012	00 1995-0163			61ST ST	146)	CTMS (TV CIRCUIT & VEH		TXDOT	LET	8/31/02	2000	19.88000	5.09	\$3,856,000		
1028	00 1995-0164			0.28 MI N	IH 610	DETECTION SYS) INSTALL CTMS	ITS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/04	2000	87.23000	22.74	\$1,082,654		
9533	98 1995-0164-A- 00	HAR	US 59 SW	OF IH 610	OF BELL	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	144.75000	37.73	\$2,780,000		
1013	1995-0165 00	MO N	IH 45 N	N OF CRIGHTON	ST LP 336 N	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	17.22000	4.41	\$2,610,000		
1029	1995-0169	HAR	US 59 NE	FM 1960	MON C/L	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	21.66000	5.65	\$1,783,000		
1014	1995-0170 00	HAR	IH 45 N	RANKIN RD	CYPRESS WOOD		ITS	TXDOT	Т	8/31/01	2007	95.67000	24.94	\$3,596,000		
1825	00 1995-0170-A- 00	HAR	IH 45 N	CYPRESS WOOD		INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	33.76000	8.8	\$2,409,000		

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJ TYPE	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATUS	ESTIMATE S COMPLETE	COMN		TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST		1996-1999 TCM PROJS
1030	1995-0171	HAR US 59 SW	BELL ST	S	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	50.23000	13.09	\$2,162,000		
1015	00 1995-0175	MO IH 45 N	HAR C/L	SHEPHER TAMINA	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	77.79000	19.9	\$2,889,000		
9536	00 1995-0175-A- 00	N - MO IH 45 N N	TAMINA RD	N OF CRIGHTO	COMMITMENT) INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	30.30000	7.75	\$3,956,000		
1031	1995-0176 00	FOR US 59 SW	0.4235 MI W OF S KIRKWOOD	SH 6	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	29.74000	7.61	\$2,800,000		
1032	1995-0178 98	HAR US 59 NE	GREENS BAYOU	0.28 MI N OF SAUNDER	INSTALL CTMS	ITS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	2000	54.18000	14.12	\$3,027,731		
9532	1995-0178-A- 98	HAR US 59 NE	0.136 MI S OF BF	GREENS BAYOU	INSTALL CTMS	ITS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	2000	43.63000	11.37	\$2,172,654		
1019	1995-0179 00	HAR SH 249	WESTLOC K	BW 8	INSTALL CTMS (TCM SIP COMMITMENT)	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	45.46000	11.85	\$4,540,000		
1016 3010	1995-0180	GAL IH 45 S HAR SH 225	S OF SH 6 SH 134	HAR C/L STRANG RD	INSTALL CTMS	ITS ITS	TXDOT TXDOT	LET LET	8/31/02 8/31/02	2007 2007	31.60000 3.88000	8.08 1.01	\$10,345,000 \$2,270,000		
1103	1996-0650 00	HAR SH 146	MCCABE RD	TYLER ST	INSTALL CTMS - INCL SURVELLANCE SYS, COMMUNICATN SYS, SATELLITE COMPUTER	ITS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	7.42000	1.93	\$1,204,650		
	93052 930566 93052; 93056; 93053; (95016; 93060) 93057; 93057; 93058; 93060; 93059; 94026; 94026; 95017;	9 7 7 1 1 0 7 7 7 2 2 0 0 8 8 9 9 0 9 9 1 1 3 8 8 8 8 9			FAC & MONITORING	ITS		LET			83.59199 38.49895 11.90865 14.90007 166.69931 360.46451 30.50514 50.37962 10.07637 41.96287 74.11972 42.81939 121.30827 57.77833 48.79352 104.86196 57.07256 0.00000 0.000000	21.38488 10.03627 3.10446 3.88429 42.64577 93.96925 7.95237 13.13343 2.62680 10.93927 19.32222 10.95425 31.62377 15.06219 12.48258 27.33639 14.60056 8.97088			Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
	950170					ITS		LET			0.00000	6.51828		reductionss from 96- 99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96- 99 commitmets	Y

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJ TYPE	LEAD AGENCY		ESTIMATE COMPLETE	COMM		TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
	95017	9				ITS		LET			0.00000	11.73457		Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
	95016	4				ITS		LET			0.00000	8.71299		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
	95017	1				ITS		LET			0.00000	7.61254		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ.
	95016	9				ITS		LET			0.00000	3.06169		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ.
	95017	6				ITS		LET			0.00000	4.01233		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ.
	95017	8				ITS		LET			0.00000	11.41442		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ.
	94026	4				ITS		LET			0.00000	4.10562		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96	Υ.
										=	2,331.73	685.96		99 commitmets	
1034		WAL US 90	FM 359 W	FM 359 E	INSTALL ATMS	ITS- ATMS	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	0.53000	0.11	\$73,000		
1023	00 1994-0386	GAL SH 6	FM 2004	FM 519	INSTALL ATMS	ITS-	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	2007	0.52000	0.11	\$183,000		
1011		GAL IH 45 S	W OF 59TH	61ST ST	INSTALL ATMS	ATMS ITS-	TXDOT	Т		2007	0.58000	0.14	\$65,000		
9846	00 1994-0254-A 98	- HAR FM 529	ST HUFFMEIS TER RD	CYPRESS	INSTALL ATMS	ATMS ITS- ATMS	TXDOT	LET	8/31/01	2000	0.85000	0.2	\$393,513		
1020		BRA SH 35	14TH ST	RN SH 36	`	ITS-	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	0.34000	0.07	\$178,000		
1071	00 1994-0244-B 98	- FOR US 59 SW	W OF S KIRKWOOD		COMMITMENT) UPGRADE FIXED TIME TO ACTUATED SIGNALS	ATMS TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/03	2000	0.00338	0.0000001	\$40,000		
1072	1994-0244-C 98	- FOR US 59 SW	RAMPS AT SPUR 41/SUGAR	SH 6	UPGRADE FIXED TIME TO ACTUATED SIGNALS	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/03	2000	0.00500	0.0000001	\$150,000		
	930584		41/30/GAR			ITS- ATMS					1.65324	0.32096			Υ
	930564					ITS- ATMS					0.83769	0.16144			Υ
	930581					ITS-					0.97997	0.18815			Υ
	930546					ATMS ITS- ATMS					0.52028	0.10010			Υ
	930547					ITS-					1.03924	0.20175			Υ
	930585					ATMS ITS- ATMS					0.34920	0.06637			Υ
	930539					ITS- ATMS					0.90562	0.17381			Υ
	930577					ITS- ATMS					0.90076	0.17229			Υ

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM TO PROJECTION LOCATION LOCATION DESCR	CT PROJ IPTION TYPE	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ ESTIMATE COMN STATUS COMPLETE YR	TOTAL VOC REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
	930573			ITS-			0.38247	0.07158		Υ
	930574			ATMS ITS-			0.68739	0.13170		Υ
	930536			ATMS ITS-			0.31975	0.06030		Υ
	930519			ATMS ITS-			0.98814	0.19081		Υ
	930538			ATMS ITS-			0.58287	0.11319		Υ
	930566			ATMS ITS-			0.27035	0.05159		Υ
	930559			ATMS ITS-			1.16420	0.22034		Υ
	930558			ATMS ITS-			3.78305	0.78191		Υ
	930520			ATMS ITS-			3.52045	0.68108		Υ
	930570			ATMS ITS-			0.47224	0.09130		Υ
	930571			ATMS ITS-			0.77743	0.15011		Υ
	930572			ATMS ITS-			1.05238	0.20349		Υ
	940286			ATMS ITS-			1.10124	0.20860		Υ
	940276			ATMS ITS-			2.04379	0.39518		Υ
	930576			ATMS ITS-			0.69169	0.13237		Υ
	930575			ATMS ITS-			0.91851	0.17789		Υ
	930603			ATMS ITS-			1.30918	0.25163		Υ
	930605			ATMS ITS-			1.10309	0.21133		Υ
	930604			ATMS ITS-			1.45225	0.28088		Υ
	940285			ATMS ITS- ATMS			0.95748	0.18575		Υ
	940278			ITS- ATMS			0.77110	0.14908		Υ
	930582			ITS- ATMS			2.42101	0.45728		Υ
	930583			ITS- ATMS			1.87130	0.36491		Υ
	940279			ITS- ATMS			2.38108	0.46021		Υ
	930606			ITS- ATMS			2.72928	0.55686		Υ
	930607			ITS- ATMS			1.85710	0.36196		Υ
	930608			ITS- ATMS			0.66650	0.12983		Υ
	930609			ITS- ATMS			2.12947	0.42156		Υ
	930610			ITS- ATMS			2.19739	0.42578		Υ
				ATIVIS						

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM TO PROJECT LOCATION LOCATION DESCRIPTION	PROJ L ON TYPE A	LEAD AGENCY	PROJ ESTIMATE COMN STATUS COMPLETE YR	TOTAL VOC REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
	940255			ITS-			1.83109	0.36054		Υ
	930595			ATMS ITS-			1.08465	0.21083		Υ
	940277			ATMS ITS-			1.20948	0.23255		Υ
	930597			ATMS ITS-			1.51056	0.29482		Υ
	930596			ATMS ITS-			1.06155	0.20466		Υ
	930598			ATMS ITS-			2.10115	0.41583		Υ
	940254			ATMS ITS-			1.15048	0.22291		Υ
	930592			ATMS ITS-			1.04539	0.20098		Υ
	930591			ATMS ITS-			1.30918	0.25163		Υ
	930594			ATMS ITS-			0.81660	0.15799		Υ
	940270			ATMS ITS-			0.30101	0.05796		Υ
	930589			ATMS ITS-			0.38367	0.07436		Υ
	940271			ATMS ITS-			0.00000	0.05859		Υ
	930561			ATMS ITS-			0.98962	0.19192		Υ
	930563			ATMS ITS-			0.40776	0.07896		Υ
	930560			ATMS ITS-			0.67545	0.13037		Υ
	930565			ATMS ITS-			0.18105	0.03433		Υ
	930562			ATMS ITS-			0.24561	0.04667		Υ
	940272			ATMS ITS-			0.38856	0.07538		Υ
	940273			ATMS ITS- ATMS			0.41376	0.07977		Υ
	930557			ITS- ATMS			0.54680	0.10410		Υ
	940287			ITS- ATMS			0.98962	0.19192		Υ
	940283			ITS- ATMS			0.34526	0.06683		Υ
	940284			ITS- ATMS			0.24775	0.04778		Υ
	940241			ITS- ATMS			0.58227	0.11381		Υ
	930590			ITS- ATMS			1.78053	0.34857		Υ
	940275			ITS- ATMS			1.20492	0.22908		Υ
	940274			ITS- ATMS			1.50961	0.29163		Υ
	940253			ITS- SIGNL			0.84605	0.23111		Υ
				GIGINE						

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJ LEA	AD SENCY		STIMATE OMPLETE	COMM	OTAL VOC REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
	940250					ITS-					0.90513	0.24725			Υ
	940238					SIGNL ITS-					0.94201	0.25733			Υ
	940239					SIGNL ITS-					0.83480	0.22804			Υ
	940240					SIGNL ITS-					1.42633	0.38963			Υ
	940247					SIGNL ITS-					0.36721	0.10031			Υ
	940248					SIGNL ITS-					0.66505	0.18167			Υ
	940249					SIGNL ITS-					1.08396	0.29610			Υ
	940246					SIGNL ITS-					0.56275	0.15373			Υ
	940245					SIGNL ITS-					3.19525	0.87284			Υ
	940242					SIGNL ITS- SIGNL					0.48408	0.13223			Υ
	940243					ITS- SIGNL					1.13618	0.31037			Υ
	940244A					ITS- SIGNL					0.00000	0.28235		Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
						SIGNL ITS-	· -				0.97479	0.26628		99 commitmets	Υ
	940251					SIGNL ITS-					0.00000	1.42117		Credit for Nox	Y
	940244C					SIGNL							reductionss from 96-		
	940244B					ITS- SIGNL					0.00000	0.66780		Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Y
	940241					ITS-					2.13717	0.58381		99 commitmets	Υ
	040241					SIGNL				=	90.49	21.33			
9980	199-0129	00 HAR VA	CBD TO DOME		HIGH CAPACITY TRANSITWAY PROJECT	TRANS ME	ETRO	TLOC	9/30/07	2007	1215.00	448.80	\$300,000,000		
					TIDWELL TRANSIT CENTER						0.00000	0.40		Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
					MESA TRANSIT CENTER						0.00000	0.60		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
					HILLCROFT TRANSIT CENTER						0.00000	8.20		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ
					W BELLFORT PARK & RIDE						0.00000	20.40		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96-	Υ.
					KINGWOOD PARK & RIDE MODIFICATION						0.00000	0.20		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96- 99 commitmets	Υ

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM LOCATION	TO LOCATION	PROJECT I DESCRIPTION	PROJ TYPE			ESTIMATE COMPLETE	COMM	REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
					NORTHWEST PARK & RIDE - 2ND EXPANSION						0.00000	21.40		Credit for Nox reductionss from 96	Y -
					ADDICKS PARK & RIDE - 2ND EXPANSION PH 1						0.00000	18.20		99 commitmets Credit for Nox reductionss from 96	Y -
					BAY AREA BLVD PARK & POOL						0.00000	10.00		99 commitments Credit for Nox reductionss from 96	Y -
		HAR			TIDWELL TRANSIT CENTER	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	0.69759	1.15828		99 commitments Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			MESA TRANSIT CENTER	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	0.64592	1.07248		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			HILLCROFT TRANSIT CENTER	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	4.64135	7.30904		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			MISSION BEND PARK & RIDE	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	0.55791	0.45117		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			W BELLFORT PARK & RIDE	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	19.24576	21.74519		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			KINGWOOD PARK & RIDE MODIFICATION	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	6.12189	10.16475		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			NORTHWEST PARK & RIDE - 2ND EXPANSION	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	46.82202	77.74310		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			ADDICKS PARK & RIDE - 2ND EXPANSION PH 1	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	45.89261	76.19991		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
		HAR			BAY AREA BLVD PARK & POOL	TR- P&R	METRO		9/30/07	2007	5.24020	7.56450		Analysis conducted by Metro (L. Mifsud)	
										=	129.87	282.81			
3006	1996-0467	MO IH 45 N	@ FM 1097		IMPROVE INTERSECTION	SOV-	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	2007	2.79000	0.76	\$2,000,000		
6070	98 1999-0263	N HAR FAIRMONT	AT SP RR		& WIDEN BRIDGE CONSTRUCT GSEP	TSM TSM	HARRIS COUNTY	Т	9/30/07	2007	0.77000	0.24	\$5,000,000		
1062	00 1994-0378 XX	PKWY BRA SH 35	@ MUSTANG RD & @ FM		ADD LTLS	TSM	TXDOT	S	5/1/02	2007	3.33000	0.91	\$140,000		
206	1994-0379 00	HAR US 290	@ MASON RD		CONSTRUCT GSEP	TSM	TXDOT	LET	9/30/07	2007	1.09000	0.34	\$8,500,000		
1144	1996-0255 00	HAR BU 90-U	IH 610 E	BW 8 E	ADD CLT LN W/ C&G	TSM	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	0.24000	0.08	\$2,500,000		
2971	1996-0258 XX	HAR BW 8 E	PASADENA BLVD	RED BLUFF RD		TSM	TXDOT	T	9/30/07	2007	0.87000	0.24	\$1,000,000		
2996	1996-0457	GAL FM 1764	@		CONSTRUCT RIGHT TRN	TSM	TXDOT	S	2/1/01	2007	1.30000	0.36	\$121,719		
2999	1996-0460 98	FOR FM 2234	@ FM 521		CONSTRUCT ADDT'L TRN LANES @ INTERSECTION	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	2000	4.12000	1.13	\$1,200,000		
3000		FOR FM 359	@ US 90A		CONSTRUCT ADDT'L TURN LANES @	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/99	2000	4.12000	1.13	\$352,748		
3007		HAR IH 610	@ N SHEPHERD , N DURHAM		CONSTRUCT U-TURNS	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	2000	1.94000	0.61	\$680,000		

PROJ ID	PROJ NUM	CO STREET	FROM TO LOCATION LOCATION	PROJECT ON DESCRIPTION		LEAD AGENCY	PROJ STATL	ESTIMATE JS COMPLETE	COMN	TOTAL VOC REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL Nox REDUCED (lbs/day)	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS	1996-1999 TCM PROJS
3009	1996-0470 98	GAL SH 146	@ EDGEWAT ER & LP	CONSTRUCT RIGHT TURN LANES	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/99	2000	0.81000	0.22	\$243,671		
2993	1996-0485 00	HAR BW 8	AT AT WESTHEIM	ADD RIGHT TRN LNS AT INTERSECTIONS NBOUNI		TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	1.46000	0.46	\$500,000		
2995	1996-0487 98	GAL FM 1764	@ SH 146	CONSTRUCT RIGHT TURN LN	TSM	TXDOT	LET	8/31/00	2000	0.56000	0.15	\$78,951		
9909	1999-0082 00	FOR FM 723	AT FM 359 & FM 1093	CONSTRUCT LEFT TURN LNS	TSM	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	1.30000	0.36	\$490,000		
9910	1999-0125 00	FOR FM 2218	S OF FM 1640 (AT SEARS HARDWAR E KEY MAP	CONSTRUCT LEFT TURN LNS	TSM	TXDOT	Т	9/30/07	2007	3.83000	1.05	\$145,000		
6099	1999-0275 00	HAR US 290	ÂT ROBERTS	CONSTRUCT INTERIM GSEP	TSM	TXDOT	Т	8/31/03	2007	1.13000	0.35	\$5,000,000		
7000 7001 5077	XX (0027-12-		AT AT BAUER @ SP 41, WILLIAMS NEW MAGNOLIA HS	CONSTRUCT INTERIM CONSTRUCT INTERIM IMPROVEMENTS TO FRTG RD WIDEN PVMT FOR L & R TURN LANES	TSM TSM TSM	TXDOT TXDOT TXDOT	T T S LE T	9/30/07 9/30/07 F 9/30/07 4/1/01	2007 2007 2007 2007	0.60000 1.12000 14.00000 0.500	0.19 0.35 3.82 0.142	\$5,000,000 \$5,000.000 \$930,000 \$300,000		
	1415-02-031	FOR FM1464	AT WEST OAKS	CONSTRUCT L TURN LANE & SIGNALTXDOT	TSM	TXDOT		6/1/01	2007	1.260	0.185	\$282,000		
	0179-01-043	BRA SH35	SH288 WALKER		TSM	TXDOT		1/1/04	2007	0.510	0.147	\$160,000		
	0188-02-034	FOR SH36	AT FRITZELLA	ADD RIGHT TURN LANE	TSM	TXDOT		6/1/01	2007	0.740	0.200	\$127,000		
	0116-03-046	MON SH75	LEAGUE LINE RD	ADD LEFT TURN LANE & SIGNAL	TSM	TXDOT		2/1/04	2007	0.670 49.06	0.093	\$220,000		

Total 2007 Emission Reductions by Type of TCM

TCM Type	VOC Benefits (lbs/day)	NOx Benefits (lbs/day)
Computerized Traffic Mgmt.	2,331.73	685.96
System	00.40	04.00
Arterial Traffic Mgmt. System/Signals	90.49	21.33
Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects	14.15	23.18
Port Projects	26.73	124.79
High Capacity Transitway	1,215.00	448.80
Park & Ride Lots	129.87	282.81
Intersection Improvements	49.07	13.52
Total Emission Reductions:	3,857.03	1,600.39